

Texas Community Development Block Grant Program 2008 Supplemental Disaster Recovery Fund: Hurricanes Dolly and Ike

Non-Housing Activities Application ROUND 2.2

This application should be considered draft until the time the General Land Office issues a notification of award.

Houston

APPLICANT NAME

Council of Governments: H-GAC



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

OVERVIEW

1. This application is for **non-housing** activities.

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

All activities must have documented proof of an impact by a major natural disaster declared in 2008. There must be a clear and compelling need related to a major natural disaster declaration, hurricane disaster relief, long-term recovery and/or restoration of infrastructure. An activity underway prior to a Presidential disaster declaration will not qualify unless the disaster impacted the project.

All eligible activities must be directly related to a major natural disaster declaration in 2008 through actual **damage** or a **failure to function** and is allowed under the State of Texas Plan After Disaster Recovery - Revised Amendment Number 1.

- 2. Applicants are encouraged to develop their community recovery projects in a manner that considers an integrated approach to housing, Fair Housing obligations, economic revitalization, and overall community recovery. Consideration of long-term planning processes is also highly encouraged.
- 3. The Texas General Land Office (Land Office) is committed to Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH). Projects and programs will be subject to detailed review by the Land Office to assure they are in consideration of the Phase I Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing and consistent with the Conciliation Agreement, HUD's current fair housing guidance, and the state's and communities' obligations to affirmatively further fair housing.
- 4. The Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund (DREF) was established by HUD to promote the implementation of forward-thinking recovery strategies that will reduce the risk of damage from a future disaster. Examples of these activities are the development and adoption of forward-thinking land use plans, floodplain or critical fire and seismic hazard area buyout programs, individual mitigation measures, and the implementation of modern disaster resistant building codes. Applicants are highly encouraged to consider DREF eligibility when developing their projects.
- 5. For detailed information regarding Application Instructions, see the Supplemental Disaster Recovery Non-Housing Activities Application Guide.



Beginning of Fiscal Year: July 1

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

End of Fiscal Year: June 30

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

APPLICANT DETAILS / 424 FORM

| Application Type(s): | Construction | Non-Const | truction | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Applicant Address: | | | | | |
| Legal Name: | City of Houston | | | | |
| Organizational Unit: | Public Works and Engineering Department | artment | | | |
| Care Of: | Carol Ellinger Haddock, P.E. | | | | |
| Street 1: | 611 Walker, 18th Floor | | | | |
| Street 2: | | | | | |
| City: | Houston | State: | TX | Zip Code: | 77002 |
| County: | Harris County | | | | |
| Application Preparer Inform | mation: | | | | |
| Name: | X. Jin Ye | | | | |
| Title: | Graduate Engineer | | | | |
| Company: | City of Houston | | | | |
| Street 1: | 611 Walker Street, 18th Floor | | | | |
| Street 2: | | | | | |
| City: | Houston | State: | TX | Zip Code: | 77002 |
| Phone Number: | 832-395-3090 | | | | |
| Fax Number: | 832-395-3104 | | | | |
| Email Address: | Xuerui.Ye@HoustonTX.Gov | | | | |
| Employeer Information: | | | | | |
| Employer ID Number: | 74-6001164 | | | | |
| DUNS Number: | 194586517 | | | | |
| Applicant Details: | | | | | |
| Type of Applicant: | City or Township Government | | | | |
| Type of Application: | New | Continuation | Re | evision | |
| Target Area(s) Affected by | the Project: | | | | |
| Target Areas: | This project includes 154 lift station scattered across the City of Houston individuals, and in areas where high | n. Theses targeted a | areas are areas | with 51% or more | e low to moderate income |
| Fiscal Years: | | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

APPLICANT DETAILS / 424 FORM (p2)

Congressional Districts:

US Representative: 10, 22, 29, 7, 9, 14, 2, 18, 8

Texas Senate: 17, 7, 18, 4, 11, 6, 15, 13

Texas Representative: 132, 139, 144, 146, 127, 134, 15, 27, 136, 129, 148, 141, 131, 145, 143, 150, 126, 138, 147, 128, 133, 140, 26, 142,

135, 28, 130, 137, 16, 149

Environmental Projects:

Number of Env. Projects: 0

Total Environmental Costs: \$9,000.00

Environmental In-House Services: \$0.00

Estimated Funding:

DR Request: \$26,112,539.00

Project Delivery (Environmental): \$0.00

Available Request: \$26,112,539.00

Federal: \$0.00

State: \$0.00 plicant: \$0.00

Applicant: \$0.00 Local: \$0.00

Other: \$0.00

Total Requests: \$26,112,539.00

Federal Debt:

Is Applicant Deliquent on Federal Debt?

Yes

No

If Yes, please explain:

Applicant Affirmation:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE CERTIFICATIONS AND CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN INCLUDED IN THE PROCEDURES SECTION OF THE DR PROGRAM APPLICATION GUIDE AND LOCATED HERE, IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.

Authorized Rep: Daniel W. Krueger, P.E.

Title of Representative: Director of Public Works and Engineering Department

Telephone Number: 832-395-2500

Date: 12/9/2011 3:43:25 PM





APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT APPROVAL INFORMATION

| | Yes | NO |
|---|-----|----|
| 1. Has the applicant chosen to self-administer the proposed project? | | |
| a. If Yes, will the proposed project be administered jointly by local staff and a third-party administrator? | | |
| 2. Has the applicant chosen to use a third-party grant administrator to administer the proposed project? | | |
| 3. Has the applicant chosen to use an in-house engineer to perform engineering services for the proposed project?4. Has the applicant chosen to use a third-party engineer to perform engineering services for the proposed project? | | |
| 5. Does any project in this application require acquisition of property, purchase of easements, relocation or any other activity requiring compliance with the Uniform Relocation Act? | | |
| 6. If FEMA or Insurance funds were received for any project in this application, the use of those funds must be fully described in the project summary and included in the project budget if they are applied to project development. In addition, a Project Funding Certification Form must be completed, signed, and submitted. Will these be included? | | |
| Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Worksheet | | |
| 1. Is a completed, adopted FHAST Form attached to this application? | | |

2. If 'No' to the above question please explain. Note: All application must be accompanied by a completed FHAST Form.



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

LONG-TERM PLANNING

Applicants are encouraged to develop their community recovery projects in a manner that considers an integrated approach to housing, Fair Housing obligations, infrastructure, economic revitalization, and overall community recovery. Consideration of long-term planning processes is also highly encouraged.

Provide a brief description of how the projects addressed in this application form part of an integrated approach to recovery.

The City of Houston's Local Hazard Mitigation Long-Term Plan goals include identifying and implementing projects that protect existing properties from damage and reducing the impact of natural disasters on people and property. In accordance with these goals, the proposed community recovery infrastructure projects aim to improve and harden the infrastructure damaged by or failed to function due to Hurricane Ike. The projects include installing quick connections at wastewater lift stations, eliminating select wastewater lift stations for consolidation, improving local and regional stormwater drainage systems, and improving local water distribution systems. A review of damage caused in the City of Houston by Hurricane Ike identifies the prevalent cause to be due to high, damaging winds. The selected 154 lift stations, five storm water drainage improvement projects, and two water distribution system improvement projects are all located in areas that received damage and have high concentrations of low to moderate income individuals and protected classes.

The storm caused failure of the wastewater lift stations, which prevented them, from properly moving wastewater from homes and businesses in the community to the wastewater treatment plants. This prevented homes and businesses of low to moderate income individuals and protected classes from being able to use plumbing fixtures connected to the wastewater system. In some cases, citizens experienced wastewater back-up into their homes.

The operation failure these facilities experienced was not due to an absence of portable power generators, but lack of ability to connect to the portable generators. By having quick connections installed, the City of Houston wastewater system will be hardened and will meet the long-term planning goals of a more resilient system. This will allow the system to operate more effectively in the next disaster event. Furthermore, adding the telemetry communication systems will allow the City to better monitor real-time lift station failure, which is critical information during disaster events.

The construction of quick connections will allow the City to quickly connect a portable power generator to 152 wastewater lift stations, keeping the communities wastewater system functioning and reducing the potential of sewage backing-up into homes and businesses after a disaster or failure occurs. Additionally, this project will allow the City to construct telemetry communication systems at 23 of the 152 identified lift stations that currently have no communicating capabilities. These real-time communications will feed into centralized command center where City staff can monitor any problems.

The proposed lift station consolidation projects include two facilities that failed during Hurricane Ike due to power outages. Eliminating these facilities will allow wastewater flows collected from surrounding areas to be diverted to a larger downstream facility. This will lead to reduction of future local sanitary overflows due to lift station failure. It will also keep the wastewater collection system operating more efficiently and smoothly therefore mitigating future disaster damage in the area. Additionally, elimination of these facilities will remove their perceived negative impacts from the community and encourages area wide economic revitalization.

The five projects within the stormwater drainage system will include both local and regional improvements that will reduce surface runoff in areas that were moderately affected by Hurricane Ike. During future extreme storm events, these improvement projects will prevent and mitigate problems related to flooding, minimize widespread disruption to public services and prevent damage to building and infrastructure. This in turn will improve local community health, living conditions and promotes overall community recovery. Furthermore, these improvements will alleviate damage, pollution and deterioration in surrounding environments ultimately creating a healthier ecosystem in downstream bayous and coastal waters.

The two local water distribution system projects will include water line replacements of various lengths and sizes in areas that had color, odor and taste issues before, during and after Hurricane Ike. The proposed projects will improve drinking water quality and reduce overall health risks in these areas. By eliminating occurrences of water-related sickness and providing high quality drinking water, the City will reduce water-related sickness costs to the community. For example, these eliminated costs will include monetary expenses for things such as doctor visits and medications as well as wages lost due to time taken off from work. Additionally, these improvement projects will have a positive impact on individual income and poverty situations, which in turn will improve living conditions and achieve long term sustainable economic development in the beneficiary communities.

To further advance the long-term planning effectiveness of the Public Works and Engineering Department, the staffs have established coordination opportunities with the City's Housing Department to ensure both Department's goals align to further fair housing and ensure equal treatment of services to the residents of the City of Houston. This will ultimately support the development and preservation of diverse and inclusive communities.



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

With these projects benefiting communities and neighborhoods scattered throughout the City of Houston, where high concentrations of protected class individuals live and work, equal treatment of the City's residents to enjoy the benefits of improved public infrastructure will be secured. The City will measure complaints of wastewater system failures through the 311 reporting system to track the affects of these projects on low to moderate income individuals and protected class populations. Additionally, the City will monitor and measure recommendations and activities towards impediments to fair housing identified in our analysis of impediments. With improved infrastructure in low to moderate income neighborhoods, these projects will provide equal treatment to protected populations by reducing risks associated with wastewater system failures.

Furthermore, the City has reached out to affected communities to receive input on project selection. On November 28th, 2010 the City held a public hearing to receive input from citizens. A public comment period was also open to receive such comments. After projects have been approved by TDRA and funded, the City will continue to work with residents to ensure they have a voice in the process. The City will coordinate with civic groups and Super neighborhoods to communicate project activities in neighborhoods where these projects will take place. The attendance and comments from such meetings will be gathered and reported in quarterly reports.

The City's community involvement in servicing will provide positive inputs to the social cohesion of communities and will result in adequate care and maintenance of infrastructure which will result in greatly reducing the re-occurrence of blight or slums.



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

TABLE 1

A project is defined as a discrete combination of: entity (i.e. city county, water supply corporation), activity (i.e. water, sewer, etc.) beneficiary population, and national objective. An additional project is created when one or more of these factors is different. A site is defined as a discrete location for activities within a project. A project can have one or more sites. For example, one water project that consists of three generators throughout a city consists of three sites, one for each generator.

Table 1 - Project 1
Project Title - Beltway
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 106351 **LMI Benes:** 64739

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$390,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$390,600.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$69,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$69,600.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$460,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$460,200.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 2
Project Title - Britmore
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 104 LMI Benes: 95

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$32,550.00 | \$0.00 | \$32,550.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$5,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,800.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$38,350.00 | \$0.00 | \$38,350.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 3

Project Title - Chocolate Bayou

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 43942 LMI Benes: 29850

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$65,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$65,100.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$11,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$11,600.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$76,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$76,700.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 4

Project Title - Clinton Park

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 7409 LMI Benes: 5045

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$65,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$65,100.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$11,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$11,600.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$76,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$76,700.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 5

Project Title - FWSD #23 Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 45450 LMI Benes: 30645

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$460,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$460,200.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$87,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$87,600.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$547,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$547,800.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 6

Project Title - Homestead Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 19472 LMI Benes: 14744

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$32,550.00 | \$0.00 | \$32,550.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$5,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,800.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$38,350.00 | \$0.00 | \$38,350.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 7

Project Title - Intercontinental

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 15451 LMI Benes: 10651

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$130,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$130,200.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$23,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$23,200.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$153,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$153,400.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 8

Project Title - Keegans Bayou

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 175460 LMI Benes: 109717

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$162,750.00 | \$0.00 | \$162,750.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$29,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$29,000.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$191,750.00 | \$0.00 | \$191,750.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 9
Project Title - MUD #203
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 10241 LMI Benes: 7463

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$232,350.00 | \$0.00 | \$232,350.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$47,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$47,000.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$279,350.00 | \$0.00 | \$279,350.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 10
Project Title - Northbelt
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 6801 LMI Benes: 3791

| Funding | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | |
| Project / Construction | \$130,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$130,200.00 | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$23,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$23,200.00 | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | \$153,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$153,400.00 | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 11

Project Title - Northborough

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 6801 LMI Benes: 3791

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$120,850.00 | \$0.00 | \$120,850.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$23,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$23,400.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$144,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$144,250.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 12
Project Title - Northeast
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 29654 LMI Benes: 17876

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$390,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$390,600.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$69,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$69,600.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$460,200.00 | \$0.00 | \$460,200.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 13
Project Title - Northgate
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 10642 LMI Benes: 8081

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$65,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$65,100.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$11,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$11,600.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$76,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$76,700.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 14

Project Title - Northside Phase IA

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 472013 LMI Benes: 293627

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$1,046,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$1,046,100.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$192,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$192,000.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$1,238,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$1,238,100.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 15
Project Title - Northwest
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 119556 **LMI Benes:** 66654

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$427,650.00 | \$0.00 | \$427,650.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$81,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$81,800.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$509,450.00 | \$0.00 | \$509,450.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 16
Project Title - Sims Bayou
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 252053 **LMI Benes:** 169857

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$813,750.00 | \$0.00 | \$813,750.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$135,850.00 | \$0.00 | \$135,850.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$949,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$949,600.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 17
Project Title - Southwest
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 320924 **LMI Benes:** 164211

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$450,850.00 | \$0.00 | \$450,850.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$87,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$87,800.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$538,650.00 | \$0.00 | \$538,650.00 |
| | | · | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 18
Project Title - WCID #47
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 40230 **LMI Benes:** 21945

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$65,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$65,100.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$11,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$11,600.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$76,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$76,700.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 19
Project Title - WCID #76
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 2296 LMI Benes: 1216

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$111,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$111,500.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$23,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$23,600.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$135,100.00 | \$0.00 | \$135,100.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 20
Project Title - Willow Run
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 7025 LMI Benes: 4847

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$88,300.00 | \$0.00 | \$88,300.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$17,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$17,600.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$105,900.00 | \$0.00 | \$105,900.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 21
Project Title - Willowbrook
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 2577 LMI Benes: 1315

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$167,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$167,250.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$35,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$35,400.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$202,650.00 | \$0.00 | \$202,650.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 22

Project Title - Imperial Valley

Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 21159 LMI Benes: 15650

| Funding | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| Project / Construction | \$32,550.00 | \$0.00 | \$32,550.00 |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$5,800.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,800.00 |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$38,350.00 | \$0.00 | \$38,350.00 |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 23
Project Title - Greenridge
Project Activity: 1b

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 0 LMI Benes: 0

| Funding | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | | | | | |
| Project / Construction | \$3,200,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,200,000.00 | | | | | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Total | \$3,200,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,200,000.00 | | | | | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 24

Project Title - Colgate, Portwall, Canada Dry, Crenshaw, and Halls

Project Activity: 5

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 0 LMI Benes: 0

| Funding | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | | | | | |
| Project / Construction | \$6,540,003.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,540,003.00 | | | | | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Total | \$6,540,003.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,540,003.00 | | | | | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 25

Project Title - Polk, and Lockwood

Project Activity: 1a

Completion Method: Bid / Contract

Storm Impact: Failure to Function National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 0 LMI Benes: 0

| | Funding | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | | | | | | |
| Project / Construction | \$5,618,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,618,600.00 | | | | | | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | | |
| Total | \$5,618,600.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,618,600.00 | | | | | | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Table 1 - Project 26

Project Title - Disaster Recovery Enhancement Program

Project Activity: 17

Completion Method: Combination

Storm Impact: Direct Damage National Objective: LMI

Total Benes: 1128 LMI Benes: 1128

| Funding | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds | | | | | |
| Project / Construction | \$4,262,286.00 | \$0.00 | \$4,262,286.00 | | | | | |
| Acquisition | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Engineering (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Engineering (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Grant Administration (Third Party) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Grant Administraction (In-House) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| Total | \$4,262,286.00 | \$0.00 | \$4,262,286.00 | | | | | |

Other Fund Sources (if applicable):



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | | TABLE 1 | SUMMARY | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | | | DR Funds | Other Funds | Total Funds |
| | Project / Construction | | \$25,102,089.00 | \$0.00 | \$25,102,089.00 |
| | Acquisition | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Table 1 | Engineering (Third Party) | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Summary | Engineering (In-House) | | \$1,010,450.00 | \$0.00 | \$1,010,450.00 |
| | Grant Administrator (Third Party) | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | Grant Administrator (In-House) | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | Table 1 Subtotal | | \$26,112,539.00 | \$0.00 | \$26,112,539.00 |
| Environ. | Environmental (Third-Party) | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Summary | Environmental (In-House) | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | Table 1 Total | | \$26,112,539.00 | \$0.00 | \$26,112,539.00 |
| | DR Requested Amount | | \$26,112,539.00 | \$0.00 | \$26,112,539.00 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

TABLE 2 - ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

| Project #1 - Beltway | Project #1 - Beltway | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 12 | \$72,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$72,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 12 | \$180,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$180,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 12 | \$90,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$90,000 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 12 | \$48,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$48,600 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$69,600 | \$ | \$69,600 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$390,600 | \$ | \$69,600 | \$ | \$460,200 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #2 - Britmon | Project #2 - Britmore | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 1 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 1 | \$7,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$7,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 1 | \$4,050 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$4,050 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$5,800 | \$ | \$5,800 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$32,550 | \$ | \$5,800 | \$ | \$38,350 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

N/A

| Project #3 - Chocolate Bayou | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 2 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 2 | \$15,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$15,000 |
|--------------------------------|---------|----|-------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 2 | \$8,100 | \$ \$ | \$ \$8,100 |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$11,600 | \$ \$11,600 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$65,100 | \$ \$11,600 | \$ \$76,700 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #4 - Clinton Park | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 2 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 2 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 2 | \$8,100 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$8,100 | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$11,600 | \$ | \$11,600 | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$65,100 | \$ | \$11,600 | \$ | \$76,700 | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

N/A

| Project #5 - FWSD | Project #5 - FWSD #23 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 12 | \$72,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$72,000 | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 12 | \$180,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$180,000 | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 12 | \$90,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$90,000 | | | |
| Building /Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 12 | \$48,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$48,600 | | | |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 | | | |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 3 | \$33,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$33,600 | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Engineering Services | \$ | | \$ | \$ \$87,600 | \$ \$87,600 |
|----------------------|----|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | TOTAL | \$460,200 | \$ \$87,600 | \$ \$547,800 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #6 - Homes | Project #6 - Homestead | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 1 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 1 | \$7,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$7,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 1 | \$4,050 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$4,050 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$5,800 | \$ | \$5,800 | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$32,550 | \$ | \$5,800 | \$ | \$38,350 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #7 - Interco | Project #7 - Intercontinental | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 4 | \$24,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$24,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 4 | \$60,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$60,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 4 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 4 | \$16,200 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$16,200 | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Engineering Services | \$ | 4 | \$ | \$ \$23,200 | \$ \$23,200 |
|----------------------|----|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | TOTAL | \$130,200 | \$ \$23,200 | \$ \$153,400 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #8 - Keegar | Project #8 - Keegans Bayou | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 5 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Controls and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 5 | \$75,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$75,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 5 | \$37,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$37,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 5 | \$20,250 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$20,250 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$29,000 | \$ | \$29,000 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$162,750 | \$ | \$29,000 | \$ | \$191,750 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #9 - MUD #2 | Project #9 - MUD #203 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 5 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 5 | \$75,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$75,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 5 | \$37,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$37,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 5 | \$20,250 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$20,250 | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$18,000 |
|--|----------|----|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 3 | \$33,600 | \$ \$ | \$ \$33,600 |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$18,000 |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$47,000 | \$ \$47,000 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$232,350 | \$ \$47,000 | \$ \$279,350 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #10 - North | Project #10 - Northbelt | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 4 | \$24,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$24,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 4 | \$60,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$60,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 4 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 4 | \$16,200 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$16,200 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$23,200 | \$ | \$23,200 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$130,200 | \$ | \$23,200 | \$ | \$153,400 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #11 - North | borough | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|----------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| Control Panels and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 3 | \$45,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$45,000 |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 3 | \$22,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$22,500 |
| Building/Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 3 | \$12,150 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,150 |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry | \$11,200 | LS | 1 | \$11,200 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$11,200 |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$23,400 | \$ \$23,400 |
|------------------------------|---------|----|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$18,000 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$120,850 | \$ \$23,400 | \$ \$144,250 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #12 - North | Project #12 - Northeast | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 12 | \$72,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$72,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 12 | \$180,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$180,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 12 | \$90,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$90,000 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 12 | \$48,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$48,600 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | 12 | \$ | \$ | \$69,600 | \$ | \$69,600 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$390,600 | \$ | \$69,600 | \$ | \$460,200 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #13 - North | Project #13 - Northgate | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 2 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 2 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 2 | \$8,100 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$8,100 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$11,600 | \$ | \$11,600 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$65,100 | \$ | \$11,600 | \$ | \$76,700 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project #14 - North | side Phase I | A | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|----------|-------------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 30 | \$180,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$180,000 |
| Control Panels and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 30 | \$450,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$450,000 |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 30 | \$225,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$225,000 |
| Building/Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 30 | \$121,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$121,500 |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 3 | \$33,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$33,600 |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$192,000 | \$ | \$192,000 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$1,046,100 | \$ | \$192,000 | \$ | \$1,238,100 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #15 - North | Project #15 - Northwest | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 11 | \$66,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$66,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 11 | \$165,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$165,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 11 | \$82,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$82,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 11 | \$44,550 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$44,550 | | | | |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 | | | | |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 3 | \$33,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$33,600 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$81,800 | \$ \$81,800 |
|----------------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | TOTAL | \$427,650 | \$ \$81,800 | \$ \$509,450 | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #16 - Sims | Project #16 - Sims Bayou | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 25 | \$150,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$150,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 25 | \$375,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$375,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 25 | \$187,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$187,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 25 | \$101,250 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$101,250 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$135,850 | \$ | \$135,850 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$813,750 | \$ | \$135,850 | \$ | \$949,600 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #17 - South | Project #17 - Southwest | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 11 | \$66,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$66,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 11 | \$165,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$165,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 11 | \$82,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$82,500 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 11 | \$44,550 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$44,550 | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 4 | \$24,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$24,000 |
|--|----------|----|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 4 | \$44,800 | \$ \$ | \$ \$44,800 |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 4 | \$24,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$24,000 |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$87,800 | \$ \$87,800 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$450,850 | \$ \$87,800 | \$ \$538,650 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #18 - WCID | Project #18 - WCID #47 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | | |
| Control Panels and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 2 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 2 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | | |
| Building/Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 2 | \$8,100 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$8,100 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$11,600 | \$ | \$11,600 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$65,100 | \$ | \$11,600 | \$ | \$76,700 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #19 - WCID | Project #19 - WCID #76 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | | |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 2 | \$22,400 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$22,400 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$23,600 | \$ | \$23,600 | | | | |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 | | | | |
| Control Panel and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 2 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 2 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Building/Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 2 | \$8,100 | \$ \$ | \$ \$8,100 |
|---|---------|----|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | | TOTAL | \$111,500 | \$ \$23,600 | \$ \$135,100 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #20 - Willow | v Run | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 2 | \$12,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,000 |
| Control Panel and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 2 | \$30,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 2 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 |
| Building / Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 2 | \$8,100 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$8,100 |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 1 | \$11,200 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$11,200 |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$17,600 | \$ | \$17,600 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$88,300 | \$ | \$17,600 | \$ | \$105,900 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project #21 - Willov | vbrook | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| Mobilization (Quick Connect) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 |
| Control Panel and Equipment (Quick Connect) | \$15,000 | LS | 3 | \$45,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$45,000 |
| Electrical Wiring (Quick Connect) | \$7,500 | LS | 3 | \$22,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$22,500 |
| Building / Electrical Permits (Quick Connect) | \$4,050 | LS | 3 | \$12,150 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$12,150 |
| Mobilization (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 |
| Instrumentation and Controls (Telemetry) | \$11,200 | LS | 3 | \$33,600 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$33,600 |
| Electrical Wiring (Telemetry) | \$6,000 | LS | 3 | \$18,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$18,000 |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$35,400 | \$ | \$35,400 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$167,250 | \$ | \$35,400 | \$ | \$202,650 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

| Project #22 - Imper | Project #22 - Imperial Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ | | | | |
| Building / Electrical Permits | \$4,050 | LS | 1 | \$4,050 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$4,050 | | | | |
| Engineering Services | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | \$5,800 | \$ | \$5,800 | | | | |
| Mobilization | \$6,000 | LS | 1 | \$6,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,000 | | | | |
| Control Panel and Equipment | \$15,000 | LS | 1 | \$15,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$15,000 | | | | |
| Electrical Wiring | \$7,500 | LS | 1 | \$7,500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$7,500 | | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | \$32,550 | \$ | \$5,800 | \$ | \$38,350 | | | | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing a hard wired quick connection to the liftstation there is no operation or maintenance associated with the connection. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

| Project #23 - Greenridge | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| Mobilization/Demobiliz ation | \$160,000 | LS | 1 | \$160,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$160,000 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Demolition/Abandonm ent of Existing Facilities | \$3,040,000 | LS | 1 | \$3,040,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$3,040,000 |
|--|-------------|----|-------|-------------|----------|-------------------|
| | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$ | \$ \$ |
| | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$ | \$ \$ |
| | \$ | | | \$ | \$ \$ | \$ \$ |
| | | | TOTAL | \$3,200,000 | \$ \$ | \$ \$3,200,000 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project demolishes the lift stations, so there is no operation or maintenance associated.

Special Engineering Activities:

| Project #24 - Colgate, Portwall, Canada Dry, Crenshaw, and Halls | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------|----------|-------------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| General Construction | \$830,319 | LS | 1 | \$830,319 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$830,319 |
| Storm Sewer | \$3,667,663 | LS | 1 | \$3,667,663 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$3,667,663 |
| Paving | \$830,319 | LS | 1 | \$830,319 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$830,319 |
| Landscaping and Streetscaping | \$711,702 | LS | 1 | \$711,702 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$711,702 |
| Railway Crossing Reconstruction | \$500,000 | LS | 1 | \$500,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$500,000 |
| | | | TOTAL | \$6,540,003 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$6,540,003 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project covers installing of storm water system, there is no operation or maintenance associated. The cost is a capital cost in the installation.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Project #25 - Polk, and Lockwood | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------|----------|-------------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| General Construction | \$432,632 | LS | 1 | \$432,632 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$432,632 |
| Water Line | \$4,640,964 | LS | 1 | \$4,640,964 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$4,640,964 |
| Paving | \$252,837 | LS | 1 | \$252,837 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$252,837 |
| Landscaping and Streetscaping | \$264,074 | LS | 1 | \$264,074 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$264,074 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Street Cut/Railroad Permit | \$28,093 | LS | 1 | \$28,093 | \$ \$ | \$ \$28,093 |
|-------------------------------|----------|----|-------|-------------|----------|-------------------|
| | | | TOTAL | \$5,618,600 | \$ \$ | \$ \$5,618,600 |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

The annual operation and maintenance associated with this project is not separately distinguishable from the total operation and maintenance from the entire city water system.

Special Engineering Activities:

N/A

| Project #26 - Disast | Project #26 - Disaster Recovery Enhancement Program | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|----------|
| Materials / Facilities | \$/Unit | Unit | Quantity | Const. \$ | Acq\$ | Eng \$ | Spc Eng \$ | Total \$ |
| Disaster Recovery Enhancement Program | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL \$4,262,286 \$ \$ \$4,262, | | | | | | | \$4,262,286 | |

Annual Projected Operation and Maintenance Costs associated with the proposed activities:

Because this project does not involve any infrastructure, there is no operation or maintenance associated. The cost is for buyout of properties that are located in floodplain areas and individual mitigation measures to improve residential properties.

Special Engineering Activities:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

ENGINEERING ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

Project Number: 1

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 2

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 3

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 4

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 5

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 6

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 7

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 8

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 9

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 10

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 11

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 12

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 13

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 14

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 15

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 16

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 17

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 18

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 19

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 20

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 21

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 22

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 23

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 1 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 2 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 24

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 7 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 8 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 9 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 10 | 24 | 15 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |

Project Number: 25

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 100% Design | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Advertise | 1 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 2 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 3 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 4 | 24 | 21 |
| Closeout Completion | 24 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project Number: 26

| | Starting Month | Ending Month | Duration (in Months) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Procurement & Contracting | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| 30% Design | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental Review & Certification | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60% Design | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100% Design | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Advertise | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| Bid Opening | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| Award Construction Contract | 0 | n/a | 1 |
| Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Closeout Completion | 0 | n/a | 1 |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

DESCRIPTION OF NEED BY PROJECT

Provide full and complete answers to each of the questions below. Descriptions should include the cause of the **damage** (i.e. wind, wind driven rain, rising water, etc...) or **failure of function**; current condition of the facility; and a detailed description of the project that coincides with the information contained in both Tables 1 and 2.

All activities must have documented proof of an impact by either Hurricane Dolly or Hurricane lke. There must be a clear and compelling need related to the disaster declaration, hurricane disaster relief, long-term recovery and/or restoration of infrastructure.

Project#: 1Title: Beltway Activity: 1b

- 1. Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function:
 - high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures
- 2. Describe how the system failed to function:
 - extensive wastewater collection system failures
- 3. Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function:
 - wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes
- 4. List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts:
 - submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups
- 5. Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of the impacts:
 - allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses
- 6. Describe the proposed project:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property damages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas

The paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paragraph and ensure that it is a coherent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly.

Hurricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures which led to extensive wastewater collection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property damages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address failure of the affected system by allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm related conditions and impacts consist of submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application.

| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
|----|---|----------|----|
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | <u>—</u> | |
| | | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |

poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community.

10. Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area?

Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals.

This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance before funds are released. | Yes | No | | | | |
|-----|--|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Dis | Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | | | | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster | Recovery Enha | ncement Fund. | | | | |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace? | Yes | No | | | | |
| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No | | | | |
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No | | | | |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No | | | | |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No | | | | |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No | | | | |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | | | | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | | | | | |





APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | DR Program Non- | Housing Activi | ties Application |
|---|---|---|--|
| Pro | oject#: 2Title: Britmore | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into stre communities and homes | ets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurrithere is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | icane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence | ce of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent propert eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | paragraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift static erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that hages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm remitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also uplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | n home etc., and in s ions so existing city- t cause power outage failure of the affected elated conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable es, prevent property d system by I impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | er e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | easter Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disas | ster Recovery Enhar | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Ì | DIT TOGICALISHED | daily Activ | nics Applicatio |
|---|--|--|--|
| Pro | oject#: 3Title: Chocolate Bayou | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes | | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricar there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ie. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of | of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these fac will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property de eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the pa erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | ragraph and er | nsure that it is a |
| colle bac gen dam allo sub | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures where the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in hour house into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift stations can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that can be also as the call the health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address failt wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm related mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, the plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ome etc., and in s so existing city use power outa- ure of the affected ed conditions an | some cases sewage r-owned portable ges, prevent property ed system by d impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster | Recovery Enha | ancement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike.

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on | Yes | No |
| 5. | enforcement of new codes or standards? Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| ì | Dit i logialii Non-ii | lousing Activi | itics Application |
|---|---|--|---|
| Pro | oject#: 4Title: Clinton Park | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets communities and homes | S, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricathere is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence | of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these fa will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the perent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | aragraph and en | sure that it is a |
| colle bac gen dan allo sub | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures vection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in Ix-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift station erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that chages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address fawing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm relamitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | home etc., and in ns so existing city- cause power outag illure of the affecte ated conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable ges, prevent property d system by d impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaste | er Recovery Enha | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





APPLICATION 2.2

| | DR Prog | gram Non-Housing Activit | ies Application |
|---|---|--|---|
| Pro | pject#: 5Title: FWSD #23 | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-communities and homes | ups into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power du there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the | e reoccurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utili. will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, pre eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Pleaserent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accor | | ure that it is a |
| back general dam allow subi | ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater syst k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installation erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other charges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the huplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ons at lift stations so existing city-o disasters that cause power outage will address failure of the affected on of storm related conditions and | wned portable s, prevent property system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, w poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | hich create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties fo class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment cleara | nnce | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications | s of the Disaster Recovery Enhance | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer struct | tures Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





APPLICATION 2.2

| | DR Program Nor | ı-Housing Activi | ties Applicatio |
|---|---|--|---|
| Pro | eject#: 6Title: Homestead | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into str communities and homes | eets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hur there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrent | nce of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent proper eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | e paragraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| back general dam allow subi | ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift state erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters the larges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm in mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ations so existing city-oat cause power outago at cause power outago s failure of the affected related conditions and | owned portable es, prevent property d system by I impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to pov failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | ver | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disa | aster Recovery Enhar | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike.

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building | Yes | No |
| | codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on | | |
| | enforcement of new codes or standards? | | |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that | Yes | No |
| | reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | | |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |





DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| ` | DR Program Non-no | Jusing Activi | ities Applicatio |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pro | pject#: 7Title: Intercontinental | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes | | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricar there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ie. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of | of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these fac will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property de eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paerent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | ragraph and en | sure that it is a |
| colle bac gen dan allo sub | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures wheetion system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in he k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift stations erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that can ages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address failt wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm related mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, the plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ome etc., and in some etc., and in some existing city- use power outagure of the affected conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable les, prevent property d system by d impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster | Recovery Enhai | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| ` | DR Program Non-no | Jusing Activi | ties Applicatio |
|---|--|---|---|
| Pro | pject#: 8Title: Keegans Bayou | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes | | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricar there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ie. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of | of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these fac will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property de eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paragraph accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | ragraph and en | sure that it is a |
| colle bac gen dan allo sub | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures wheetion system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in hok-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift stations erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that can ages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address failt wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm related mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, the plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ome etc., and in some etc., and in some existing city- use power outagure of the affected conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable les, prevent property d system by I impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster | Recovery Enhai | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | DR F | Program Non-Housing Activity | ties Application |
|---|---|---|--|
| Pro | oject#: 9Title: MUD #203 | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | i | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage to communities and homes | ack-ups into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts | : | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost pow- there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage bar | | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane an | d the reoccurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Perent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, a | | sure that it is a |
| colle bac gen dam allo sub | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection instal erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or or nages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, was wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documen mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the aplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | system failures in home etc., and in s illations at lift stations so existing city-or ther disasters that cause power outage hich will address failure of the affected tation of storm related conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable es, prevent property d system by i impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted are | a? | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflow poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | vs, which create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer over failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properticles individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment of | learance | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualification | ations of the Disaster Recovery Enhan | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employers | structures Yes | No No |
| | in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace? | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





| | DR Progra | am Non-Housing Activiti | es Application |
|---|--|--|---|
| Pro | pject#: 10Title: Northbelt | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-up communities and homes | ps into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | to the hurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the r | reoccurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilize will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, preve eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordi | | ure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift statection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other distanges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurriplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | m failures in home etc., and in so s at lift stations so existing city-ov sasters that cause power outages ill address failure of the affected of of storm related conditions and in | me cases sewage wned portable s, prevent property system by mpacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | _ | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, whi poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | ch create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows of failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for proclass individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | ce | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of | of the Disaster Recovery Enhanc | ement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structure | res Yes | No 💮 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike.

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----------|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building | Yes | No |
| | codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on | | |
| | enforcement of new codes or standards? | | |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that | Yes | No |
| | reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | | <u> </u> |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |





| - | DR Progra | am Non-Housing Activit | ies Applicatio |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pro | oject#: 11Title: Northborough | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-up communities and homes | os into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due t there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | to the hurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the re- | eoccurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevereliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please rerent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, according | | ure that it is a |
| colle bacl gene dam allov subi | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift stati- ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other dis- larges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurri uplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | In failures in home etc., and in so s at lift stations so existing city-o casters that cause power outage all address failure of the affected of storm related conditions and | ome cases sewage wheel portable es, prevent property system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, whice poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | ch create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows difailure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for p class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | e | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of | of the Disaster Recovery Enhand | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structure | res Yes | No 💮 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





| | DR Program Noi | 1-Housing Activit | ties Applicatio |
|---|--|--|---|
| Pro | eject#: 12Title: Northeast | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into str communities and homes | eets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hu there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | rricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurre | nce of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at thes will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent prope eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | e paragraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| back general dam allow subi | ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift state erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters the larges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Al plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ations so existing city-on at cause power outage s failure of the affected related conditions and | owned portable es, prevent property d system by I impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to por failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | ver | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | _ | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Dis | aster Recovery Enhan | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | DR Program Non- | 10using Activi | ties Application |
|---|--|--|--|
| Pro | pject#: 13Title: Northgate | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into stree communities and homes | ts, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurric there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence | e of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these fawill minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | paragraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures section system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift static erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that pages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address fawing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm relimited excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, uplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | home etc., and in sons so existing city- cause power outagrailure of the affected atted conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable es, prevent property d system by I impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | • | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | easter Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disast | ter Recovery Enhar | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





| | DR Program | Non-Housing Activit | ies Application |
|---|---|--|---|
| Pro | oject#: 14Title: Northside Phase IA | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups in communities and homes | to streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | e hurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reocc | currence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent peliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please revierent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly | | ure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station rection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at learners can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disaste nages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will acknow in the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of standing the continuation of the failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ilures in home etc., and in so lift stations so existing city-o ers that cause power outage ddress failure of the affected torm related conditions and | ome cases sewage wned portable s, prevent property system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | _ | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | _ | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which c poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | reate | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due t failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for prote class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the | e Disaster Recovery Enhand | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes 🗍 | No 💮 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





| | DR Program No | n-Housing Activi | ties Applicatio |
|---|--|--|---|
| Pro | eject#: 15Title: Northwest | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into st communities and homes | reets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the huthere is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ırricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurre | ence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at thes will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent proper eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| The coh | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | he paragraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| back general dam allow subi | ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures causing impacts and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift st erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters the larges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will addressing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. A plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ations so existing city-on the cause power outage as failure of the affected related conditions and | owned portable es, prevent property d system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. |) | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to po failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Dis | saster Recovery Enhan | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | DR Program N | on-nousing Activit | iles Application |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pro | oject#: 16Title: Sims Bayou | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into communities and homes | streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the l there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | nurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccur | rence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at th will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent pro eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | the paragraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane lke/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station fail ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failur k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters rages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will addrawing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm itted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. In plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | res in home etc., and in s stations so existing city-c that cause power outage ess failure of the affected m related conditions and | ome cases sewage owned portable es, prevent property I system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which creat poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | ate | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to p failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the D | Disaster Recovery Enhan | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | DIT I TOGICALITY | ousing Activi | itics Application |
|---|--|---|---|
| Pro | oject#: 17Title: Southwest | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets communities and homes | 3, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricathere is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | ine. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence | of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized at these fa will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent property of eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the p erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | aragraph and en | sure that it is a |
| colle bac gen dan allo sub | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures vection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at lift statior erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that chages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will address fail wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm relamitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, to plaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | nome etc., and in a ns so existing city- ause power outag ilure of the affecte ted conditions and | some cases sewage owned portable ges, prevent property d system by d impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaste | er Recovery Enha | ncement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike.

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on | Yes | No |
| | enforcement of new codes or standards? | | |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |





APPLICATION 2.2

| 1 | DR Pro | gram Non-Housing Activit | ties Application |
|---|--|--|---|
| Pro | oject#: 18Title: WCID #47 | | Activity: 1b |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back communities and homes | <up>s into streets,</up> | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power d there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backup | | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the | ne reoccurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be uti will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, preliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Plea erent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, according to the above questions. | | sure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater systemps into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installaterators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other nages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentate mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hiplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | stem failures in home etc., and in sions at lift stations so existing city-cridisasters that cause power outage himiliadress failure of the affected ion of storm related conditions and | ome cases sewage owned portable es, prevent property I system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | _ | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | _ | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | which create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflow failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties f class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clear | rance | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualification | ns of the Disaster Recovery Enhan | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer stru | ctures Yes | No No |
| | in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace? | | |



DRAFT

This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike.

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |





| | DR Pro | ogram Non-Housing Activit | ies Applicatio |
|---|--|---|---|
| Pro | pject#: 19Title: WCID #76 | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage bac communities and homes | ck-ups into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backs | | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and | the reoccurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be u will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, peliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Plearent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, acc | | ure that it is a |
| back general dam allow subi | ection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater systems into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installate erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other ages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentated excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the uplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ations at lift stations so existing city-or er disasters that cause power outage ch will address failure of the affected ation of storm related conditions and | wned portable s, prevent property system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | , which create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflo failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clear | arance | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualification | ons of the Disaster Recovery Enhand | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structure. | uctures Yes | No |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike.

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----------|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building | Yes | No |
| | codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on | | |
| | enforcement of new codes or standards? | | |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that | Yes | No |
| | reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | | <u> </u> |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |





| | DR Progra | m Non-Housing Activiti | es Application |
|---|--|---|--|
| Pro | oject#: 20Title: Willow Run | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups communities and homes | s into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | the hurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the re- | occurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prever eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please reerent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, according | | ure that it is a |
| colle back gene dam allow subs | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift static action system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disa nages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation o mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurric aplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | a failures in home etc., and in so at lift stations so existing city-over asters that cause power outages I address failure of the affected of storm related conditions and i | ome cases sewage wned portable s, prevent property system by mpacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | _ | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | _ | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | h create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows du failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for pr class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | e | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | saster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of | the Disaster Recovery Enhance | ement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structure | es Yes 🗍 | No 💮 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





| - | DR Program | n Non-Housing Activit | ies Applicatio |
|---|---|--|--|
| Pro | oject#: 21Title: Willowbrook | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups in communities and homes | nto streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | he hurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reod | ocurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized a will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prevent eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please reverent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, according | | ure that it is a |
| colle bacl gene dam allov subi | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station section system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system factures into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations at erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disast larges, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will a wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of smitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurrical uplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | ailures in home etc., and in so t lift stations so existing city-o ters that cause power outage address failure of the affected storm related conditions and | ome cases sewage wned portable as, prevent property system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | <u>—</u> | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for prot class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | _ |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the | ne Disaster Recovery Enhand | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes 🗍 | No 🗾 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





| - | DR Progra | im Non-Housing Activit | ies Applicatio |
|---|--|---|--|
| Pro | oject#: 22Title: Imperial Valley | | Activity: 1 |
| 1. | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: | | |
| | extensive wastewater collection system failures | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups communities and homes | s into streets, | |
| 4. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups | o the hurricane. Also, | |
| 5. | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the re | occurrence of the impacts: | |
| | allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses | | |
| 6. | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | quick connection installations at lift stations so existing city-owned portable generators can be utilized will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disasters that cause power outages, prever eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas | | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please reerent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, according | | ure that it is a |
| colle bacl gene dam allov subi | ricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift static action system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system k-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of quick connection installations erators can be utilized at these facilities. This will minimize disruption to services during storms or other disapages, eliminate public health hazards and environmental pollution in the lift station service areas, which will wing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of mitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurric uplaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application. | n failures in home etc., and in so at lift stations so existing city-o asters that cause power outage I address failure of the affected of storm related conditions and | ome cases sewage wned portable as, prevent property system by impacts consist of |
| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | _ | _ |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | _ | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows, which poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | h create | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows du failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for pr class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | е | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| The | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of | the Disaster Recovery Enhand | cement Fund. |
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structure | es Yes | No 💮 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |
| | This project is a storm hardening activity that will reduce the risk of sewage overflows into homes and the environment due to power outages at wastewater liftstations caused by large-scale storms like Hurricane Ike. | | |





DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project#: 23Title: Greenridge Activity: 1b

- . Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function:
 - high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures
- Describe how the system failed to function:
 - extensive wastewater collection system failures
- 3. Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function:
 - wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes
- 4. List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts:
 - submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups
- 5. Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of the impacts:
 - allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses
- 6. Describe the proposed project:

elimination of two facilities that failed during Hurricane Ike due to power outages. The consolidation of these facilities will allow wastewater flows collected from surrounding areas to be diverted to a larger downstream facility. This will lead to reduction of future local sanitary overflows due to lift station failure. It will also keep the wastewater collection system operating more efficiently and smoothly therefore mitigating future disaster damage in the area. Additionally, elimination of these facilities will remove their perceived negative impacts from the community and encourages area wide economic revitalization.

The paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paragraph and ensure that it is a coherent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly.

Hurricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and lift station failures which led to extensive wastewater collection system failures causing impacts to the community such as wide-spread individual wastewater system failures in home etc., and in some cases sewage back-ups into streets, communities and homes. The proposed project consists of elimination of two facilities that failed during Hurricane Ike due to power outages. The consolidation of these facilities will allow wastewater flows collected from surrounding areas to be diverted to a larger downstream facility. This will lead to reduction of future local sanitary overflows due to lift station failure. It will also keep the wastewater collection system operating more efficiently and smoothly therefore mitigating future disaster damage in the area. Additionally, elimination of these facilities will remove their perceived negative impacts from the community and encourages area wide economic revitalization., which will address failure of the affected system by allowing the City to continue to move wastewater out of homes, communities and businesses. Documentation of storm related conditions and impacts consist of submitted excel spreadsheets where City investigators identified the lift stations that lost power due to the hurricane. Also, there is a list of officially filed citizen complaints of failed wastewater systems and sewage backups, which are attached to this application.

| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
|-----|--|-------------|----|
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | <u> </u> | |
| | | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce sanitary sewer overflows that caused by lift station failure, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with sanitary sewer overflows due to power failure at liftstations. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |

Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

The following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund.



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |

This project will lead to reduction of future local sanitary overflows due to lift station failure. It will also keep the wastewater collection system operating more efficiently and smoothly therefore mitigating future disaster damage in the area. Additionally, elimination of these facilities will remove their perceived negative impacts from the community and encourages area wide economic revitalization.



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| | Project#: | 24Title: | Colgate. | Portwall. | Canada Dry | . Crenshaw. | and Halls |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|

Activity: 5

- . Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function:
 - intensive rain events during Hurricane Ike in the Houston area that resulted in localized flooding
- Describe how the system failed to function:
 - insufficient drainage capacity resulting in localized flooding in streets and on private property
- 3. Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function:
 - potentials for street and structural flooding, flood related damages to building and infrastructure, disruption of public services, poor living conditions that can lead to several health risks
- 4. List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts:
 - submitted maps where City investigators identified the household was affected or damaged during hurricane.
- 5. Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of the impacts: providing proper drainage of storm water
- 6. Describe the proposed project:

both local and regional improvements that will reduce surface runoff in areas that were moderately affected by Hurricane Ike. During future extreme storm events, these improvement projects will prevent and mitigate problems related to flooding, minimize widespread disruption to public services and prevent damage to building and infrastructure. This in turn will improve local community health, living conditions and promotes overall community recovery. Furthermore, these improvements will alleviate damage, pollution and deterioration in surrounding environments ultimately creating a healthier ecosystem in downstream bayous and coastal waters.

The paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paragraph and ensure that it is a coherent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly.

Hurricane Ike/Dolly caused intensive rain events during Hurricane Ike in the Houston area that resulted in localized flooding which led to insufficient drainage capacity resulting in localized flooding in streets and on private property causing impacts to the community such as potentials for street and structural flooding, flood related damages to building and infrastructure, disruption of public services, poor living conditions that can lead to several health risks. The proposed project consists of both local and regional improvements that will reduce surface runoff in areas that were moderately affected by Hurricane Ike. During future extreme storm events, these improvement projects will prevent and mitigate problems related to flooding, minimize widespread disruption to public services and prevent damage to building and infrastructure. This in turn will improve local community health, living conditions and promotes overall community recovery. Furthermore, these improvements will alleviate damage, pollution and deterioration in surrounding environments ultimately creating a healthier ecosystem in downstream bayous and coastal waters., which will address failure of the affected system by providing proper drainage of storm water. Documentation of storm related conditions and impacts consist of submitted maps where City investigators identified the household was affected or damaged during hurricane., which are attached to this application.

| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
|-----|---|-----|----|
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| | | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will reduce localized flooding including flooding in streets and private structures, which create poor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by reducing risks associated with localized flooding and prevent floor related damages due intensive rain events. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |

Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| IIIE | ionowing questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the bisaster Net | overy Elliance | inent Fund. |
|------|--|----------------|-------------|
| 1. | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace? | Yes | No |
| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6 | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREE guidelines listed above: | | |

Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

This project will improve local community health, living conditions and promotes overall community recovery. Furthermore, these improvements will alleviate damage, pollution and deterioration in surrounding environments ultimately creating a healthier ecosystem in downstream bayous and coastal waters.



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project#: 25Title: Polk, and Lockwood | | | | | | Activity: 1 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |

- Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function:
 - high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and low system pressure throughout the City's potable drinking water system
- Describe how the system failed to function:
 - low and fluctuating water pressure that impacted the quality of drinking water
- 3. Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function:
 - widespread low water pressure and in some cases notices to boil water
- 4. List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts:
 - list of complaints and transmittal to the TCEQ for documentation of the boil water notice
- 5. Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of the impacts:
 - allowing the City to continue to provide safe, reliable drinking water to homes and businesses
- 6. Describe the proposed project:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

replacement of the existing neighborhood water main system to address a history of breaks, low pressure concerns, taste, color or odor complaints. In combination with other State legislation regarding back-up power, this will minimize poor water quality in future disasters.

The paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paragraph and ensure that it is a coherent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly.

Hurricane Ike/Dolly caused high winds in the Houston area that resulted in massive power outages and low system pressure throughout the City's potable drinking water system which led to low and fluctuating water pressure that impacted the quality of drinking water causing impacts to the community such as widespread low water pressure and in some cases notices to boil water. The proposed project consists of replacement of the existing neighborhood water main system to address a history of breaks, low pressure concerns, taste, color or odor complaints. In combination with other State legisation regarding back-up power, this will minimize poor water quality in future disasters., which will address failure of the affected system by allowing the City to continue to provide safe, reliable drinking water to homes and businesses. Documentation of storm related conditions and impacts consist of list of complaints and transmittal to the TCEQ for documentation of the boil water notice, which are attached to this application.

| 7. | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
|-----|--|-----|----|
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | |
| | | | |
| 8. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets this national objective for the fact that it will improve the delivery of safe and reliable drinking water. When drinking water has pressure or quality problems, it creates ppor and unhealthy conditions for both homeowners and the general community. | | |
| 10. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the Al? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes. This project improves fair housing by improving the ability of the system to maintain pressure and reducing the quality (color, odor, taste, etc) of the drinking water system. This has a corrective affect on discrimination and affordability of properties for protected class individuals. | | |
| 11. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Die | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |

The following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund.

Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures Yes

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?

| Yes | No | |
|-----|----|--|
| | | |



N/A

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on enforcement of new codes or standards? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | Yes | No |
| 6. | Describe how the project is consistent with the DREF guidelines listed above: | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Pro | eject#: 26Title: Disaster Recovery Enhancement Program | | Activity: 17 |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Describe the specific storm related condition that caused the failure to function: | | |
| | excessive high winds caused widespread damage to residential and business structures | | |
| 2. | Describe how the system failed to function: N/A | | |
| 3. | Describe the impacts on the community that resulted from the failure to function: | | |
| | widespread individual impacts to structures, and in some cases resulting in the structure being damaged beyond re | pair | |
| l. | List materials submitted as documentation of the storm condition and the resulting system impacts: | | |
| | Describe how the proposed activities will prevent failure of the system affected by the hurricane and the reoccurrence of | the impacts: | |
|). | allowing the residents and business owners to better withstand future natural disasters | trie irripacts. | |
| , | Describe the proposed project: | | |
| | Disaster Recovery Enhancement Funding for: Buyout of properties that are located in floodplain areas under an opt relocation plan that includes incentives so that families and private sector employers move out of areas at sever risl future disaster. Individual Mitigation Measures (IMM) to improve residential properties and make them less prone to The City may also host training sessions for property owners related to disaster resistance in building codes and ho relate to code enforcement and/or design and construction standards. | k for a o damage. | |
| | paragraph below is composed from your answers to the questions No. 1 through 6 above. Please review the paraerent and accurate statement. If is not, please revise your answers to the above questions, accordingly. | agraph and ens | sure that it is a |
| com con: nce esi ouild | ricane Ike/Dolly caused excessive high winds caused widespread damage to residential and business structures which lead immunity such as widespread individual impacts to structures, and in some cases resulting in the structure being damaged I sists of Disaster Recovery Enhancement Funding for: Buyout of properties that are located in floodplain areas under an operatives so that families and private sector employers move out of areas at sever risk for a future disaster. Individual Mitigat dential properties and make them less prone to damage. The City may also host training sessions for property owners relating codes and how these relate to code enforcement and/or design and construction standards., which will address failure residents and business owners to better withstand future natural disasters. Documentation of storm related conditions and ched to this application. | beyond repair. T ptional relocation tion Measures (Il ated to disaster in e of the affected | The proposed project in plan that includes MM) to improve resistance in I system by allowing |
| 7 . | Was there damage to this system? | Yes | No |
| | Will these funds repair or replace any of this damage? Describe: | | _ |
| 3. | Is the applicant participating in the National Flood Insurance Program? | Yes | No |
|). | Does this project meet the national objective of Prevention/Elimination of Slumsor Blight? | Yes | No |
| | What conditions are present in the area to designate and qualify the area as a slum or blighted area? | | |
| | This project meets the national objective for the fact that it will increase the ability of structures to withstand and minimize the damages from future disasters | | |
| 0. | Does this project address the impediments identified in the AI? or otherwise, within your area? | | |
| | Yes, this project will be coordinated through the priorities developed under the Housing Allocation under CDBG Disaster Recovery, Round 2, Phase 2. | | |
| 1. | Will the assistance requested have any negative impact(s) to effect(s) on the environment? | Yes | No |
| | Note: All funded applications will have to comply with Federal regulations regarding environment clearance | | |
| | before funds are released. | | |
| Dis | aster Recovery Enhancement Fund Qualifications | | |
| he | following questions are designed to determine if the proposed project potentially meets the qualifications of the Disaster F | Recovery Enhan | cement Fund. |
| | Does the project include demolition, acquisition or relocation of homes or private sector employer structures | Yes | No 🗍 |

in areas of severe disaster risk, such as the 100-year floodplain, resulting in greenspace?

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 2. | Does the project include reduction of damage risks to residential structures, such as elevation and storm-hardening? | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 3. | Does the project include drainage improvements that reduce flood risk to residential properties? | Yes | No |
| | 3a. Does the project include appropriate revisions to the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the affected area? | Yes | No |
| 4. | Does the project include funding to hire code enforcement officials; develop or update disaster resilient building | Yes | No |
| | codes; develop or update zoning, design or development standards that are disaster-resilient; or training on | | |
| | enforcement of new codes or standards? | | |
| 5. | Does the project include development and implementation of forward-thinking plans, such as land-use plans that | Yes | No |
| | reduce risk, hazard mitigation plans and flood plain mapping? | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

DESCRIPTION OF NEED BY PROJECT - SITE SPECIFIC

Provide full and complete answers to each of the questions below. Descriptions should include the cause of the **damage** (i.e. wind, wind driven rain, rising water, etc...) or **failure of function**; current condition of the facility; and a detailed description of the project that coincides with the information contained in both Tables 1 and 2.

All activities must have documented proof of an impact by either Hurricane Dolly or Hurricane Ike. There must be a clear and compelling need related to the disaster declaration, hurricane disaster relief, long-term recovery and/or restoration of infrastructure.

A site is defined as a discrete location for activities within a project. A project can have one or more sites. For example, one water project that consists of three generators throughout a city consists of three sites, one for each generator.

| Project# 1: Beltway | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for S | Site# 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Da | ata Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific si | ite info. | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: -95.59 | 99363 | GPS Location - Longitude: 29.697769 | |
| Use the following table to describe description field above). | ribe this particular site. If you cannot use the ta | able or it is not applicable to this site, you may provide text | ual responses instead (in |
| On: Treewater | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and loca | ntion of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Beltway Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if availa | able: | | |
| 7321 TREEWATER, HOUS | TON TX 77072 | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this | s project? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (k | W)? Applicant should enter a range of genera | ator size here (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested | d serve or be located on a Federal installation? | ? Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installatio | n: | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flo | od hazard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested | d cause the displacement of families, individua | als, farms, or businesses? | |
| | Families: Individu | als: Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 2: Britmore | | | Activity: |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Sub | nission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe this the description field above). | particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is | s not applicable to this site, you may provide t | textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location of | project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Britmore Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this project | t? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? Ap | plicant should enter a range of generator size h | nere (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serve | or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood haza | ard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cause | the displacement of families, individuals, farms, | , or businesses? | |
| | Families: Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 3: Chocolate Bayou | | | Activity: 1b |
|--|---|---|--|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Submission - Ta | ab Site Info for all specific site info | D. | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe this particular sthe description field above). | site. If you cannot use the table or | r it is not applicable to this site, you ma | ay provide textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location of project attack | ched? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Chocolate Bayou Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| • | | 🗖 | = |
| Is a generator involved in this project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? Applicant sho | uld enter a range of generator siz | ze here (example 50 to 200kW). | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serve or be located | ed on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | _ | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazard area or | floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cause the displace | ement of families, individuals, far | rms, or businesses? | |
| Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 4: Clinton Park | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Submiss | sion - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe this part the description field above). | ticular site. If you cannot use the table or it is | s not applicable to this site, you may provide textual | responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location of proje | ect attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Clinton Park Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? Applic | ant should enter a range of generator size h | ere (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serve or b | e located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazard a | area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cause the | displacement of families, individuals, farms, | , or businesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 5: FWSD #23 | | | | Activity: 1b |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | e# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info | for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS L | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describ the description field above). | e this particular site. If you c | annot use the table or it is not a | pplicable to this site, you may provide te | xtual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | on of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| FWSD#23 Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if availab | le: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this p | project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW |)? Applicant should enter a | range of generator size here (ex | cample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| | | | | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | serve or be located on a Fed | eral installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | <u>—</u> | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated floor | hazard area or floodolain? | | Yes | No |
| _ | | | | 140 |
| 4. Will the assistance requested of | | | | Duningan . |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 6: Homestead | | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# | 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Su | ubmission - Tab Site Info f | or all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | nis particular site. If you ca | annot use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide tex | ctual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location of | of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Homestead Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this proj | ect? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? | Applicant should enter a r | ange of generator size here (exa | ample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serv | ve or be located on a Fede | eral installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | _ | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood ha | azard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cau | se the displacement of far | milies, individuals, farms, or busi | inesses? | |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 7: Intercontinental | | | | Activity: |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | e# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info for | all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | e this particular site. If you canr | not use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide | textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | on of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Intercontinental Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available | le: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this p | project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW |)? Applicant should enter a rang | ge of generator size here (exa | ample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | serve or be located on a Federa | l installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | _ | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | I hazard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested of | ause the displacement of famili | es, individuals, farms, or busi | inesses? | |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 8: Keegans Bayou | | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | e# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Infe | o for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | e this particular site. If you | cannot use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide to | extual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | on of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Keegans Bayou Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available | e: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this p | roject? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW |)? Applicant should enter a | a range of generator size here (ex | ample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | erve or be located on a Fe | ederal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | hazard area or floodplain | ? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested c | ause the displacement of | families, individuals, farms, or bus | inesses? | |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 9: MUD #203 | | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | # 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info | for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | this particular site. If you | cannot use the table or it is not ap | pplicable to this site, you may provide tex | tual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | То: | |
| Is map of service area and location | n of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| MUD#203 Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available | e: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this process. | roject? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW) | ? Applicant should enter a | range of generator size here (ex | rample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested se | erve or be located on a Fed | deral installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | _ | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | hazard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | ause the displacement of fa | amilies, individuals, farms, or bus | sinesses? | <u>—</u> |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 10: Northbelt | | | | Activity: |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | e# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info for | all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | cation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | e this particular site. If you cann | ot use the table or it is not ap | plicable to this site, you may provide | textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | on of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Northbelt Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if availab | le: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this p | project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW |)? Applicant should enter a rang | ge of generator size here (exa | mple 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | serve or be located on a Federa | l installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | I hazard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested of | ause the displacement of famili | es, individuals, farms, or busin | nesses? | |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 11: Northborough | | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | # 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info f | or all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | e this particular site. If you ca | annot use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide tex | tual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | n of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Northborough Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available | e: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this p | roject? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW) |)? Applicant should enter a r | ange of generator size here (ex | ample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Fuel Type: propane Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | erve or be located on a Fede | eral installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| | | | | 🗖 |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | hazard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested c | ause the displacement of far | milies, individuals, farms, or bus | inesses? | _ |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 12: Northeast | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# | 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Data S | Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | GF | PS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | this particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is n | not applicable to this site, you may provide textu | ual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | То: | |
| Is map of service area and location | of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Northeast Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available | : | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this pro | pject? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? | Applicant should enter a range of generator size here | e (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested se | rve or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood h | nazard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | use the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or | r businesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 13: Northgate | | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | # 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info | for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | e this particular site. If you o | cannot use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide te | xtual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and locatio | n of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Northgate Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available | e: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this p | roject? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW) | ? Applicant should enter a | range of generator size here (ex | ample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| | | | | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | _ |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | erve or be located on a Fed | leral installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | hazard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | ause the displacement of fa | amilies, individuals, farms, or bus | inesses? | |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 14: Northside Phase IA | | | Activity: 1b |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Subm | ission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe this pathe description field above). | particular site. If you cannot use the table or it | is not applicable to this site, you may provide te | xtual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | То: | |
| Is map of service area and location of pr | oject attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Northside Phase IA Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this project? | , | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? App | licant should enter a range of generator size l | here (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| | | | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serve o | r be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | <u>—</u> | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazar | d area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cause to | ne displacement of families, individuals, farms | s, or businesses? | |
| F | amilies: Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |
| What is the generator size (kW)? App Fuel Type: propane Transfer Switch: manual 2. Will the assistance requested serve o Name of Federal Installation: Percentage of Project: 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazar 4. Will the assistance requested cause to | licant should enter a range of generator size I r be located on a Federal installation? d area or floodplain? he displacement of families, individuals, farms | here (example 50 to 200kW). Yes Yes s, or businesses? | No No |



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 15: Northwest | | | Activity: 1b |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | e# 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describ the description field above). | e this particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide te | xtual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | on of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Northwest Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if availab | le: | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this p | project? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW | ')? Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (exa | ample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested s | serve or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated floor | hazard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested of | cause the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or busi | inesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 16: Sims Bayou | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# | 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Data S | ubmission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | GPS L | Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe t the description field above). | his particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is not a | applicable to this site, you may provide text | ual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | То: | |
| Is map of service area and location | of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | _ | |
| Sims Bayou Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this pro | ect? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? | Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (ex | xample 50 to 200kW). | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested ser | ve or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood ha | azard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cau | se the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or but | sinesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 17: Southwest | | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site | # 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Inf | fo for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS L | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | this particular site. If you | cannot use the table or it is not a | pplicable to this site, you may provide tex | tual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | n of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | |
| Southwest Project Area | | | | |
| Please provide address if available | e: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this part of the second se | roject? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW) | ? Applicant should enter | a range of generator size here (ex | rample 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested se | erve or be located on a Fe | ederal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | hazard area or floodplain | ? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | ause the displacement of | families, individuals, farms, or bus | sinesses? | |
| | Families: | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 18: WCID #47 | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Sites | ¥ 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | GPS | S Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | this particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is not | t applicable to this site, you may provide textu | al responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | n of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| WCID #47 Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available |): : | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this pr | oject? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW) | ? Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (| (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested se | erve or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood | hazard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | ause the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or b | ousinesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Project# 19: WCID #76 Activity: 1b Site# 1 **Location Information** Provide a brief description for Site# 1: Please see attached Master Data Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. GPS Location - Latitude: GPS Location - Longitude: Use the following table to describe this particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is not applicable to this site, you may provide textual responses instead (in the description field above). From: On: To: Is map of service area and location of project attached? No Map# or Title: WCID #76 Project Area Please provide address if available: **Site Specifics** 1. Is a generator involved in this project? What is the generator size (kW)? Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (example 50 to 200kW). Fuel Type: propane Transfer Switch: manual 2. Will the assistance requested serve or be located on a Federal installation? Name of Federal Installation: Percentage of Project: 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazard area or floodplain? 4. Will the assistance requested cause the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or businesses?

Individuals:

Farms:



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 20: Willow Run | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1 | : | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Su | bmission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | GPS I | Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the the description field above). | is particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is not a | applicable to this site, you may provide text | ual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | То: | |
| Is map of service area and location o | f project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | _ | _ |
| Willow Run Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| Is a generator involved in this projection. | ect? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? A | Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (e. | example 50 to 200kW). | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serv | e or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood ha | zard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested caus | e the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or bu | usinesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 21: Willowbrook | | | Activity: 1b |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Sit | e# 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Data | a Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | GPS Lo | ocation - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describ the description field above). | e this particular site. If you cannot use the table or it is not ap | oplicable to this site, you may provide te | xtual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and locati | on of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Willowbrook Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if availab | ele: | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this | project? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW | //? Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (exa | ample 50 to 200kW) | |
| What is the generator size (KV | y: Applicant should enter a range of generator size here (exe | umple oo to zookw). | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested | serve or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated floor | d hazard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested | cause the displacement of families, individuals, farms, or busi | inesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



Families:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

| Project# 22: Imperial Valley | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Submission - | Tab Site Info for all specific site info | 0. | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe this particular the description field above). | ar site. If you cannot use the table or | r it is not applicable to this site, you may provide textua | I responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | То: | |
| Is map of service area and location of project at | ttached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Imperial Valley Project Area | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? Applicant s | hould enter a range of generator siz | ze here (example 50 to 200kW). | |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serve or be loc | ated on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazard area | or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cause the disp | lacement of families, individuals, far | rms, or businesses? | |

Individuals:

Farms:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 23: Greenridge | | | Activity: 1b |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Submission - | Tab Site Info for all specific site info |). | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe this particular the description field above). | ar site. If you cannot use the table or | it is not applicable to this site, you may pro | ovide textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location of project a | ttached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Ike Recovery Grant 2(b) Project Map | | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this project? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? Applicant s | hould enter a range of generator siz | re here (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serve or be loc | ated on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood hazard area | or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested cause the disp | lacement of families, individuals, far | ms, or businesses? | |
| Families | Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

Activity: 5

| Project# 24: Colgate, Portwall, Ca | nada Dry, Crenshaw, and Halls | | Activity |
|---|---|--|---|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# 1 | : | | |
| Please see attached Master Data Su | bmission - Tab Site Info for all specific site in | nfo. | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the the description field above). | is particular site. If you cannot use the table | or it is not applicable to this site, you ma | y provide textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location of | f project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Ike Recovery Grant 2(b) Project N | Лар | | |
| Please provide address if available: | | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this proje | ect? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? | Applicant should enter a range of generator s | size here (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested serv | e or be located on a Federal installation? | Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | | |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood ha | zard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested caus | se the displacement of families, individuals, f | arms, or businesses? | |
| | Families: Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 25: Polk, and Lockwood | i e | | Activity: |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | |
| Location Information | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# | 1: | | |
| Please see attached Master Data S | Submission - Tab Site Info for all specific si | ite info. | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Longitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | this particular site. If you cannot use the ta | able or it is not applicable to this site, you may provide | textual responses instead (ir |
| On: | From: | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | of project attached? | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | |
| Ike Recovery Grant 2(b) Project | Мар | | |
| Please provide address if available | : | | |
| Site Specifics | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this pro | pject? | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW)? | Applicant should enter a range of genera | ator size here (example 50 to 200kW). | _ |
| Fuel Type: propane | | | |
| Transfer Switch: manual | | | |
| 2. Will the assistance requested se | rve or be located on a Federal installation | ? Yes | No |
| Name of Federal Installation: | | _ | _ |
| Percentage of Project: | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood h | nazard area or floodplain? | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | use the displacement of families, individua | als, farms, or businesses? | _ |
| | Families: Individu | rals: Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project# 26: Disaster Recovery | Enhancement Program | | | Activity: 17 |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Site# 1 | | | | |
| Location Information | | | | |
| Provide a brief description for Site# | ± 1: | | | |
| Please see attached Master Data S | Submission - Tab Site Info for all sp | pecific site info. | | |
| GPS Location - Latitude: | | GPS Location - Lor | ngitude: | |
| Use the following table to describe the description field above). | this particular site. If you cannot us | se the table or it is not applicable to t | his site, you may provid | de textual responses instead (in |
| On: | From: | | To: | |
| Is map of service area and location | of project attached? | | Yes | No |
| Map# or Title: | | | | _ |
| Please provide address if available Site Specifics | : | | | |
| 1. Is a generator involved in this pr | oject? | | Yes | No |
| What is the generator size (kW) | ? Applicant should enter a range of | f generator size here (example 50 to | 200kW). | |
| Fuel Type: propane Transfer Switch: manual 2. Will the assistance requested se Name of Federal Installation: | rve or be located on a Federal inst | allation? | Yes | No |
| Percentage of Project: | | | | |
| 3. Is the site in a designated flood l | nazard area or floodplain? | | Yes | No |
| 4. Will the assistance requested ca | | ndividuals, farms, or businesses? Individuals: | Farms: | Business: |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 1 Title: Beltway **Total Male Beneficiaries Total Female Beneficiaries Total Beneficiaries** 54,704 56.613 111,317 Race # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries # Hispanic Beneficiaries **Total Beneficiaries** White 19.822 19,152 38.974 Black/African American 31,335 635 31,970 19,429 95 19,524 Asian 180 257 437 American Indian/Alaskan Native Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 37 29 66 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White 107 49 156 31 507 Asian & White 476 Black/African American & White 234 57 291 13 Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 75 88 1,014 Other Multi-Racial 19,304 18,290 Activity Total 111,317 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries?

| Yes | | No | | | |
|---|--------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a. How many households/families will be assisted? | | | | | |
| | b. How ma | any of the households/families responde | d to the survey? | | |
| | c1. When | was the survey started? | | | |
| | c2. When | was the survey completed? | | | |
| 2. Is survey documentation attac | ched to the | application? | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? | | _ | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | |
| Į. | lf yes, plea | ase attach a copy of the previously verifi | ed survey data and describe | the location of the s | urvey. |
| | , , , | ., | , | | • |
| 4. Was 2000 Census information | n used to id | lentify beneficiaries? | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | |
| a. Has the required Census m | nap been pr | rovided? | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | |
| ' | | CENSUS GEOGRAPI | HIC AREA DATA: | | |
| List all consus tracts and block of | rouns inclu | uded in the project area for projects which | | wide henefit. Re sure | to identify the total |
| beneficiaries claimed for each ar | rea. A spre | adsheet showing LMI benefit must be su | | | |
| projects, indicate "Citywide" or "C | Countywide | e" and attach a spreadsheet. | | | |
| County Code | | Census Tract(s) | Block Gr | oup(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census | s Tab | | | | 0 |
| 1a. Provide the number of proje | ct beneficia | aries identified through each of the follow | ving methods: | | |
| DR Survey: | | | 2000 Census: | 111317 | |
| Direct Benefit: | | | Limited Clientele: | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informa | ation, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 13832 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 1466 | | |



Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 2 Title: Britmore

| Total Male Ben | eficiaries | Total Female Beneficiar | ies | | Total Benefic | iaries |
|--|-------------------------------|--|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 4,309 | | 4,241 | | | 8,550 | |
| Race | | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | s | # Hispanic B | eneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | | | 2,841 | | 1,590 | 4,431 |
| Black/African American | | | 738 | | 20 | 758 |
| Asian | | | 1,588 | | 2 | 1,590 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | | 14 | | 21 | 35 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla | ander | | 2 | | | 2 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | & White | | 12 | | 7 | 19 |
| Asian & White | | | 30 | | 3 | 33 |
| Black/African American & White | | | 8 | | 3 | 1 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Blad | ck/African Am. | | | | | |
| Other Multi-Racial | | | 1,646 | | 25 | 1,67 |
| | | | | | Activity Total: | 8,550 |
| Is survey documentation attack Yes [| If yes, please attach a copy | No No No void the previously verified surve | y data and | d describe the loc | ation of the surve | ·y. |
| Yes | | No | | | | |
| a. Has the required Census ma | ap been provided? | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | |
| | | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC ARE | A DATA: | | | |
| List all census tracts and block gr beneficiaries claimed for each are projects, indicate "Citywide" or "C | ea. A spreadsheet showing | ct area for projects which are not g LMI benefit must be submitted | t citywide | or countywide be | | |
| County Code | Ce | ensus Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census | Tab | | | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project | t heneficiaries identified th | rough each of the following meth | nods: | | | |
| DR Survey: | sononoranos idontinos tr | 2000 Ce | | | 8550 | |
| , | | | | | | |

Limited Clientele:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informa | tion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 168 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 44 | | |



Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 3 Title: Chocolate Bayou

| Race # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries White 2,121 Black/African American 38,530 Asian 369 American Indian/Alaskan Native 49 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 10 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White 22 Asian & White 12 Black/African American & White 12 Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 61 Other Multi-Racial 2,112 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | Total Beneficiaries | |
|--|--|--|
| White 2,121 Black/African American 38,530 Asian 369 American Indian/Alaskan Native 49 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 10 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White 22 Asian & White 12 Black/African American & White 52 Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 61 Other Multi-Racial 2,112 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No La. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | 46,543 | |
| Black/African American Asian American Indian/Alaskan Native Asian & White Black/African American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Asian American Asian American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Asian American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Asian American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. American American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. American America | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| Asian Asian American Indian/Alaskan Native Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaskan Native & White Asian & White Black/African American & White 12 Black/African American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Other Multi-Racial 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | 1 1,833 | 3,954 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaskan Native & White Asian & White Black/African American & White 12 Black/African American & White 52 Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 61 Other Multi-Racial 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and the survey of the previously verified to the survey of the previously verified to the survey of t | 0 190 | 38,720 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaskan Native & White Asian & White Black/African American & White Black/African American & White Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Other Multi-Racial 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and the survey of the previously verified survey data and the survey? a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | 9 29 | 398 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 9 25 | 74 |
| Asian & White 12 Black/African American & White 52 Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 61 Other Multi-Racial 2,112 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | 0 18 | 28 |
| Black/African American & White | 2 5 | 27 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Other Multi-Racial 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | 2 2 | 14 |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | 2 6 | 58 |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | 1 4 | 65 |
| A. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | 2 1,093 | 3,205 |
| A. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | Activity Total: | 46,543 |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | | ·y. |
| CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | | |
| CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | | |
| beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) | A : | |
| | e or countywide benefit. Be sure to i on to completing this question. For c | dentify the total itywide or countywide |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| 1 | | 0 |
| 1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified through each of the following methods: | | |
| DR Survey: 2000 Census: | 46543 | |

Limited Clientele:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as oppose | d to using 2000 Census information | n, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | e reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project | ? 5563 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project | ot? 483 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 4 Title: Clinton Park

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | | Total Benefic | iaries |
|--|--|-------------------|--|--|
| 7,844 | 7,812 | | 15,656 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 1 | ,741 | 4,518 | 6,259 |
| Black/African American | 5 | ,196 | 57 | 5,253 |
| Asian | | 12 | 8 | 20 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | 23 | 85 | 108 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | 16 | 2 | 18 |
| Asian & White | | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Black/African American & White | | 16 | 3 | 19 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | | 12 | | 12 |
| Other Multi-Racial | 3 | ,222 | 729 | 3,951 |
| | <u> </u> | | Activity Total: | 15,656 |
| Is survey documentation attached to the applica Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes | No No No Cha copy of the previously verified survey da | ta and | describe the location of the surve | ∋у. |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | ? | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA D | ATA: | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in a beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and a | t showing LMI benefit must be submitted in a | wide o Idition | or countywide benefit. Be sure to to completing this question. For o | identify the total citywide or countywide |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | F | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries ide | entified through each of the following methods | | ! | |
| DR Survey: | 2000 Censu | | 15656 | |
| Dix Guivey. | | J. | 13030 | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | o using 2000 Census information | on, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 1952 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 259 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 5 Title: FWSD #23

| Black/African American 43,888 194 44,00 Asian 100 26 11 American Indian/Alaskan Native 79 99 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 17 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White 18 3 4 Asian & White 19 5 6 4 Asian & White 19 6 Activity Total: 6 6 6 1 Activity Total: 6 6 6 1 Activity Total: 6 Activity Total: Activity Total: Activity Total | Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | ciaries | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| White 3,885 5,100 8,86 Black/African American 43,885 194 44,00 Asian 100 26 11 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 5 9 9 11 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 5 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 6 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 7 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 7 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 7 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 7 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 7 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 17 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiiian/Other Pacritic Islander 8 12 9 9 9 18 Native Hawaiiian | 28,775 | 31,286 | 60,061 | | |
| Black/African American 43,888 194 44,00 Asian 100 26 11 American Indian/Alaskan Native 79 99 97 17 No 18 18 3 4 18 3 4 18 3 4 18 3 4 8 Asian & White 18 3 4 8 Asian & White 19 5 6 18 Asian & White 19 6 7 8 Asian & White 19 6 Asian & White 19 An. Indian/Alaskan Native & White 8 An. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 44 11 44 An. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 44 14 45 Activity Total: 6 6 6 10 Activity Total: 6 6 6 11 Activity Total: 6 Activity Total: 6 Activity Total: 6 6 6 10 Activity Total: 6 Activity Total: Activity | Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| Asian 100 26 172 American Indian/Alaskan Native 779 99 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 7 6 7 7 9 99 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 7 6 7 7 9 99 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 7 6 7 7 9 99 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 7 6 7 7 9 99 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 6 7 9 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 7 9 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 7 9 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 7 9 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiian 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiiian 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiiian 8 9 9 9 173 Native Hawaiiian 8 9 9 9 18 Native Ha | White | 3,585 | 5,100 | 8,685 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native 79 99 172 Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 6 1 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White 18 3 3 2 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White 19 12 5 5 1 Black/African American & White 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Total 19 12 5 1 Black Brown & Activity Tota | Black/African American | 43,888 | 194 | 44,082 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander 5 6 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Asian | 100 | 26 | 126 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 79 | 99 | 178 | |
| Asian & White 12 5 15 Black/African American & White 813 4 6 6 Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. 44 1 1 4 4 Other Multi-Racial 5,438 1,371 6,80 Racivity Total: 6,80 R | Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 5 | 6 | 11 | |
| Black/African American & White 8. Black/African Am. 44 1. 1 4. 4 Other Multi-Racial 5.438 1.371 6.86 Activity Total: 60.06 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No | American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 18 | 3 | 21 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. Other Multi-Racial 5.438 1.371 6.80 Activity Total: 6.00 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | Asian & White | 12 | 5 | 17 | |
| Other Multi-Racial 5,438 1,371 6,86 Activity Total: 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | Black/African American & White | 83 | 4 | 87 | |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 44 | 1 | 45 | |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | Other Multi-Racial | 5,438 | 1,371 | 6,809 | |
| A. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes | | • | Activity Total: | 60,061 | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code Census Tract(s) Block Group(s) Persons Benefiting See Spreadsheet - Census Tab 0 1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified through each of the following methods: | a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes | | | | |
| beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. County Code | List all census tracts and block groups included in the project | | | identify the total | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab 1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified through each of the following methods: | beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing | LMI benefit must be submitted in additio | n to completing this question. For | citywide or countywide | |
| 1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified through each of the following methods: | County Code Ce | ensus Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting | |
| | See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 | |
| | 1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified th | rough each of the following methods: | | | |
| | DR Survey: | 2000 Census: | 60061 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a | survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informat | tion, provide a detailed explanation of th | ne reason. |
| N/A | | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting | g from the project? | 7209 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting | ng from the project? | 852 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 6 Title: Homestead

| Total Male Bene | ficiaries | Total Female Benefic | ciaries | Total Benefic | ciaries |
|--|--|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 10,897 | | 11,874 | | 22,771 | |
| Race | | # Non-Hispanic Beneficia | ries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | | | 891 | 1,743 | 2,634 |
| Black/African American | | | 17,299 | 72 | 17,371 |
| Asian | | | 30 | 11 | 41 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | | 26 | 23 | 49 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islar | nder | | 5 | | 5 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & | White | | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Asian & White | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Black/African American & White | | | 31 | 5 | 36 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black | √African Am. | | 32 | | 32 |
| Other Multi-Racial | | | 1,857 | 737 | 2,594 |
| | | | • | Activity Total: | 22,771 |
| a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and describe the location of the survey. 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No A. Has the required Census map been provided? | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | |
| List all census tracts and block gro beneficiaries claimed for each area projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Co | oups included in the projecta. A spreadsheet showing | LMI benefit must be submitt | not citywide | or countywide benefit. Be sure to | |
| County Code | Cei | nsus Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census T | Гаb | | | | 0 |
| 1a. Provide the number of project | haneficiaries identified the | ough each of the following n | nethode: | | |
| DR Survey: | beneficialies lucifulled till | | Census: | 22771 | |
| Dit ourvoy. | | | , 5011003. | 22111 | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informa | tion, provide a detailed explanation of the r | eason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 2895 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 269 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 7 Title: Intercontinental

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | ciaries | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 19,166 | 19,617 | 38,783 | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | 14,491 | 6,747 | 21,238 | |
| Black/African American | 7,680 | 129 | 7,809 | |
| Asian | 1,362 | 13 | 1,375 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 100 | 106 | 206 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 46 | 11 | 57 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 50 | 17 | 67 | |
| Asian & White | 110 | 4 | 114 | |
| Black/African American & White | 80 | 17 | 97 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 22 | 1 | 23 | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 7,045 | 752 | 7,797 | |
| | | Activity Total: | 38,783 | |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. | | | | |
| County Code Ce | nsus Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 | |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified the | rough each of the following methods: | | | |
| DR Survey: | 2000 Census: | 38783 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informat | ion, provide a detailed explanation of the r | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 4569 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 1043 | | |



DR Survey: Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 8 Title: Keegans Bayou

| | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 9,870 | 9,875 | 19,745 | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | 6,409 | 2,919 | 9,32 | |
| Black/African American | 6,159 | 81 | 6,24 | |
| Asian | 546 | 4 | 55 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 50 | 58 | 10 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 58 | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 18 | 8 | 2 | |
| Asian & White | 48 | 1 | 4 | |
| Black/African American & White | 52 | 13 | 6 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 13 | | 1 | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 3,084 | 224 | 3,30 | |
| | | Activity Total: | 19,74 | |
| c1. When was the surve c2. When was the surve 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? | | | | |
| Yes If yes, please attach a c | No N | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a c | No No popy of the previously verified survey data and | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a c 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefic | No N | d describe the location of the surve | ∍y. | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a comparison used to identify beneficing the survey of | No No No No No No No No No | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a comparison used to identify benefic Yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? | No Soppy of the previously verified survey data and staries? No No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: oject area for projects which are not citywide ing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | identify the total | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a control of the survey attacks and block groups included in the probeneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet show | No Soppy of the previously verified survey data and staries? No No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: oject area for projects which are not citywide ing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | identify the total | |

2000 Census:

Limited Clientele:

19745



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Describe the Target Ar | rea: | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| City | /wide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were ide | ntified by using a survey as opposed to u | using 2000 Census information, | , provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the re | eason. |
| N/A | | | | |
| 3. Number of residential pr | roperties benefiting from the project? | 4589 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial | properties benefiting from the project? | 925 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 9 Title: MUD #203

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | ciaries | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10,985 | 11,455 | 22,440 | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | 2,958 | 4,639 | 7,597 | |
| Black/African American | 7,906 | 110 | 8,016 | |
| Asian | 934 | 8 | 942 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 43 | 54 | 97 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 29 | 2 | 31 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 32 | 6 | 38 | |
| Asian & White | 29 | 10 | 39 | |
| Black/African American & White | 45 | 24 | 69 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 23 | | 23 | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 4,853 | 735 | 5,588 | |
| | | Activity Total: | 22,440 | |
| a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and describe the location of the survey. 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaries? Yes No a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes No | | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. | | | | |
| County Code Ce | nsus Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 | |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified thr | ough each of the following methods: | • | | |
| DR Survey: | 2000 Census: | 22440 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informat | ion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 5413 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 805 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 10 Title: Northbelt

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 22,885 | 20,839 | 43,724 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 16,847 | 6,573 | 23,420 |
| Black/African American | 11,936 | 113 | 12,049 |
| Asian | 824 | 11 | 835 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 135 | 117 | 252 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 58 | 8 | 66 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 86 | 18 | 104 |
| Asian & White | 66 | 7 | 73 |
| Black/African American & White | 86 | 8 | 94 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 22 | | 22 |
| Other Multi-Racial | 6,763 | 46 | 6,809 |
| | | Activity Total: | 43,724 |
| c1. When was the surv c2. When was the surv 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a case of the survey | ey completed? No N | d describe the location of the surve | ∋ y. |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | | | |
| Yes Yes | No | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the p beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet sho projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach | oject area for projects which are not citywide ving LMI benefit must be submitted in addition | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified DR Survey: | d through each of the following methods: 2000 Census: | 43724 | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | | Clientele/ ect Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opp | posed to using 2000 Census information, | provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the reason. | |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the pro- | pject? 5269 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the pr | roject? 729 | | |



DR Survey: Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 11 Title: Northborough

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | ciaries |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 10,380 | 10,979 | 21,359 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 5,481 | 3,319 | 8,800 |
| Black/African American | 8,197 | 122 | 8,319 |
| Asian | 802 | 11 | 813 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 43 | 44 | 87 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 14 | 3 | 17 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 29 | 7 | 36 |
| Asian & White | 49 | | 49 |
| Black/African American & White | 72 | 23 | 95 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 28 | | 28 |
| Other Multi-Racial | 2,701 | 414 | 3,115 |
| | | Activity Total: | 21,359 |
| c1. When was the survey s c2. When was the survey c 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes | | d describe the location of the surve | эу. |
| Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaring Yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? | es? | | |
| Yes | No | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the project beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet showing projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet showing projects. | LMI benefit must be submitted in addition | | |
| County Code Ce | nsus Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified th | rough each of the following methods: | | |

2000 Census:

Limited Clientele:

21359



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census information | on, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the r | eason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 3102 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 394 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

| Project#: 12 | | | Title: Northeast |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | iaries |
| 28,558 | 27,997 | 56,555 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 12,072 | 15,067 | 27,139 |
| Black/African American | 12,284 | 243 | 12,527 |
| Asian | 977 | 39 | 1,016 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 125 | 186 | 311 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 23 | 22 | 45 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 71 | 19 | 90 |
| Asian & White | 41 | 11 | 52 |
| Black/African American & White | 69 | 25 | 94 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 19 | | 19 |
| Other Multi-Racial | 14,912 | 350 | 15,262 |
| | | Activity Total: | 56,555 |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes | rey completed? | d describe the location of the surve | y. |
| Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit Yes | ciaries? | | |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | | | |
| Yes | No | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the p beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet sho projects indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attack | roject area for projects which are not citywide wing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition | | |

projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet.

| County Code | Census Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 |

| See Spreadsheet - Census | Tab | | | | 0 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---|------------------|-------|---|
| 1a. Provide the number of project | t beneficia | aries identified through each of the followin | g methods: | | |
| DR Survey: | | 2 | 000 Census: | 56555 | |
| Direct Benefit: | | L | mited Clientele: | | |
| _ | | _ | | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the | Target Area: | | | |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries | were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informat | ion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | | |
| 3. Number of resi | dential properties benefiting from the project? | 7005 | | |
| 4. Number of con | nmercial properties benefiting from the project? | 921 | | |



DR Survey:

Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 13 Title: Northgate

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | ciaries | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 10,205 | 10,005 | 20,210 | | | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | | | |
| White | 2,622 | 3,769 | 6,391 | | | |
| Black/African American | 7,754 | 121 | 7,875 | | | |
| Asian | 291 | 3 | 294 | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 34 | 56 | 90 | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 19 | 5 | 24 | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 16 | 4 | 20 | | | |
| Asian & White | 44 | | 44 | | | |
| Black/African American & White | 41 | 13 | 54 | | | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 17 | 1 | 18 | | | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 3,972 | 1,428 | 5,400 | | | |
| | | Activity Total: | 20,210 | | | |
| a. How many households/families will be assisted? b. How many of the households/families responded to the survey? c1. When was the survey started? c2. When was the survey completed? 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes No No If yes, please attach a copy of the previously verified survey data and describe the location of the survey. | | | | | | |
| Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiario Yes | No No | | | | | |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | | | | | | |
| Yes Yes | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. | | | | | | |
| County Code Ce | nsus Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting | | | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 | | | |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified the | ough each of the following methods: | , | 1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified through each of the following methods: | | | |

2000 Census:

Limited Clientele:

20210



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informati | ion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 2659 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 455 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 14 Title: Northside Phase IA

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Benefic | ciaries | Total Benefic | iaries |
|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 273,630 | 256,751 | | 530,381 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficia | ries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | | 127,091 | 150,071 | 277,162 |
| Black/African American | | 103,475 | 1,537 | 105,012 |
| Asian | | 6,993 | 192 | 7,185 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | 863 | 2,158 | 3,021 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | 102 | 144 | 246 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | 697 | 212 | 909 |
| Asian & White | | 410 | 135 | 545 |
| Black/African American & White | | 422 | 161 | 583 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | | 174 | 19 | 193 |
| Other Multi-Racial | | 116,421 | 19,104 | 135,525 |
| | • | • | Activity Total: | 530,381 |
| Is survey documentation attached to the applic Yes Was a prior survey utilized? Yes | No No No ach a copy of the previously verified su | rvey data and | describe the location of the surve | ∍y. |
| Yes | No | | | |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC A | REA DATA: | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsher projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and | the project area for projects which are et showing LMI benefit must be submitt | not citywide o | | |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries ic | lentified through each of the following a | nethods: | | |
| DR Survey: | | netnoas:) Census: | 530081 | |
| Dit Survey. | | oensus. | 330001 | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| b. Describe the | Гarget Area: | | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries | were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census information, provid | e a detailed explanation of th | e reason. |
| N/A | | | | |
| 3. Number of resi | dential properties benefiting from the project? | 99509 | | |
| I. Number of com | mercial properties benefiting from the project? | 89652 | | |



DR Survey: Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 15 Title: Northwest

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | iaries |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 66,221 | 66,221 67,771 133,9 | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 40,463 | 23,910 | 64,37 |
| Black/African American | 38,521 | 432 | 38,95 |
| Asian | 5,429 | 59 | 5,48 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 221 | 379 | 60 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 29 | 21 | 5 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 212 | 34 | 24 |
| Asian & White | 150 | 23 | 17 |
| Black/African American & White | 254 | 36 | 29 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 74 | 7 | 8 |
| Other Multi-Racial | 22,575 | 1,163 | 23,73 |
| | | Activity Total: | 133,99 |
| c1. When was the surv | • | | |
| c1. When was the survection c2. When was the survection attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes Yes | rey started? rey completed? | d describe the location of the surve | y. |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a | rey started? No No No Copy of the previously verified survey data and | d describe the location of the surve | y. |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a | rey started? No No No Copy of the previously verified survey data and | d describe the location of the surve | y. |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c3. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit | rey started? rey completed? No No copy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? | d describe the location of the surve | y. |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c3. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit | rey started? rey completed? No No copy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? | d describe the location of the surve | y. |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c3. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit Yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? | rey started? No No No copy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? No No No No No No No No No N | d describe the location of the surve | ry. |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit in the peneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet sho | rey started? No No No Copy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? No No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: roject area for projects which are not citywide wing LMI benefit must be submitted in additior | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | dentify the total |
| c1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c3. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? | rey started? No No No Copy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? No No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: roject area for projects which are not citywide wing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition a spreadsheet. | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | dentify the total |

2000 Census:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a | survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informati | on, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | e reason. |
| N/A | | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting | g from the project? | 12595 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiti | ng from the project? | 8524 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries 276,157 | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 139,177 | 136,980 | | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | 32,233 | 67,365 | 99,598 | |
| Black/African American | 88,922 | 1,061 | 89,983 | |
| Asian | 6,872 | 140 | 7,012 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 345 | 998 | 1,343 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 75 | 70 | 145 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 212 | 139 | 351 | |
| Asian & White | 192 | 27 | 219 | |
| Black/African American & White | 305 | 74 | 379 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 187 | 17 | 204 | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 69,891 | 7,032 | 76,923 | |
| | | Activity Total: | 276,157 | |
| • | | | | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |

| Yes | | No |
|------------------------------|---|----------|
| Was a prior survey utilized? | | |
| Yes | | No |
| li li | f yes, please attach a copy of the previously | verified |

survey data and describe the location of the survey.

4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficiaries?

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

| Yes | No |
|---|-----|
| | INO |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | |
| Yes | No |

CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA:

List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet.

| County Code | Census Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 |

| 1a. | Provide the number of proje | ect beneficiaries ident | ified through each of the follow | ina methods: | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| | DR Survey: | | | • | 276157 | |
| | Direct Benefit: | | | Limited Clientele: | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed | to using 2000 Census informati | ion, provide a detailed explanation of the | e reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 54257 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 24558 | | |



DR Survey:

Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 17 Title: Southwest

| | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benef | ficiaries |
|---|--|---|--|
| 169,653 | 173,160 | 342,8 | 13 |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 128,006 | 53,774 | 4 181,780 |
| Black/African American | 75,434 | 1,30 | 5 76,739 |
| Asian | 22,078 | 207 | 7 22,285 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 472 | 770 | 0 1,242 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 122 | 104 | 4 226 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 412 | 209 | 5 617 |
| Asian & White | 1,002 | 48 | 8 1,050 |
| Black/African American & White | 470 | 89 | 9 559 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 192 | 26 | 6 218 |
| Other Multi-Racial | 56,528 | 1,569 | 9 58,097 |
| | | Activity Total | <i>I:</i> 342,813 |
| c1. When was the sur | vov startad? | | |
| c2. When was the sur 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a | vey completed? | d describe the location of the sur | vey. |
| 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes Yes | vey completed? No No No copy of the previously verified survey data an | d describe the location of the sur | vey. |
| 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify bene Yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes List all census tracts and block groups included in the beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet she projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attace | No N | or countywide benefit. Be sure to n to completing this question. For | o identify the total r citywide or countywide |
| 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach at a survey was attached to identify beneway. 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneway. Yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes List all census tracts and block groups included in the beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet shows the survey of the provided of the plant of the pl | No N | or countywide benefit. Be sure to | o identify the total |

2000 Census:

Limited Clientele:

342813

1a. Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified through each of the following methods:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | |
|--|--|---|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area Limited Clientele/Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as | s opposed to using 2000 Census information | n, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the reason. |
| N/A | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from th | e project? 52130 | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from t | the project? 3695 | |



Yes

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | Total Beneficiaries | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 24,987 | 25,118 | 50,105 | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | 20,388 | 9,874 | 30,262 | |
| Black/African American | 5,579 | 144 | 5,723 | |
| Asian | 3,404 | 31 | 3,435 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 108 | 143 | 251 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 30 | 11 | 41 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 93 | 30 | 123 | |
| Asian & White | 115 | 24 | 139 | |
| Black/African American & White | 78 | 11 | 89 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 8 | 4 | 12 | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 9,788 | 242 | 10,030 | |
| | | Activity Total: | 50,105 | |
| Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |
| | s/families will be assisted? | | | |
| , | eholds/families responded to the survey? | | | |
| c1. When was the survey | · | | | |
| c2. When was the survey | | | | |
| 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |
| | py of the previously verified survey data and | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | |
| | | | | |
| 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify beneficia | 🗀 | | | |
| Yes | No | | | |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | | | | |

CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA:

List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet.

| County Code | Census Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 |

| County Code | Census Tract(s) | | Block G | Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | | | 0 |
| a. Provide the number of project ber | neficiaries identified through each of t | he following r | methods: | | |
| DR Survey: | | 200 | 0 Census: | 7852 | |
| Direct Benefit: | | Limi | ited Clientele: | | |
| | | | | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informa | tion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 7852 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 526 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 19 Title: WCID #76

| Project#: 19 | | | | | | Title: WCID #76 | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| Total Male Beneficiario | es | Total Female Beneficiaries | | | Total Beneficiaries | | |
| 3,864 | | 4,03 | 30 | 7,894 | | | |
| Race | | # Non-Hispanic Be | neficiaries | # Hispanic B | eneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | | | 872 | | 994 | 1,866 | |
| Black/African American | | | 4,012 | | 24 | 4,036 | |
| Asian | | | 10 | | | 10 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | | 4 | | 38 | 42 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | | | | 6 | (| |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | | 2 | | | | |
| Asian & White | | | 2 | | | : | |
| Black/African American & White | | | 12 | | | 1: | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/Africa | ın Am. | | 2 | | | : | |
| Other Multi-Racial | | | 1,062 | | 854 | 1,91 | |
| | | | | | Activity Total: | 7,89 | |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify ben | eficiaries? | | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | |
| | nanv households/fa | amilies will be assiste | d? | | | | |
| | • | nolds/families respond | | | | | |
| | n was the survey st | · | , | | | | |
| | n was the survey co | | | | | | |
| 2. Is survey documentation attached to the | • | • | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | |
| 3. Was a prior survey utilized? | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | |
| | ease attach a copy | of the previously veri | ified survey data an | d describe the loc | ation of the surv | ev. | |
| 7 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | • | |
| 4. Was 2000 Census information used to | identify beneficiarie | es? | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | |
| a. Has the required Census map been | provided? | | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | |
| | | CENSUS GEOGRAF | PHIC AREA DATA: | | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups in beneficiaries claimed for each area. A sp projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countyw | readsheet showing | LMI benefit must be | | | | | |
| County Code | Се | nsus Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | | Persons Benefiting | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | + | | | | | 0 | |
| 1a. Provide the number of project benefi | ciaries identified the | rough each of the follo | owing methods: | | | | |
| DR Survey: | | loagit oacit of the follo | 2000 Census: | | 7894 | | |
| Direct Benefit: | | | Limited Clientele | | | | |
| Direct Deficit. | | | | | | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed | to using 2000 Census informat | ion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 947 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project | ? 325 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 20 Title: Willow Run

| Total Male Ben | eficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | | ciaries | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 6,282 | | 7,101 | | 13,383 | | | |
| Race | | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic E | seneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | | |
| White | | 76 | 6 | 1,517 | 2,283 | | |
| Black/African American | | 8,73 | 9 | 48 | 8,787 | | |
| Asian | | 28 | 280 | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | 2 | 0 | 19 | 39 | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla | ander | | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & | & White | | 2 | 6 | 8 | | |
| Asian & White | | | 8 | | 8 | | |
| Black/African American & White | | 1 | 2 | | 12 | | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Blac | ck/African Am. | 1 | 6 | | 16 | | |
| Other Multi-Racial | | 1,59 | 0 | 360 | 1,950 | | |
| | | | | Activity Total: | 13,383 | | |
| 1. Was a DR Survey used to identify beneficiaries? Yes | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was 2000 Census informationYesa. Has the required Census ma | | No No | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | |
| | | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DAT | A: | | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the project area for projects which are not citywide or countywide benefit. Be sure to identify the total beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition to completing this question. For citywide or countywide projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach a spreadsheet. | | | | | | | |
| County Code | Cer | Census Tract(s) Block Group(s) Persons Benefiting | | | | | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census | Tab | | | | 0 | | |
| 1a. Provide the number of project | t beneficiaries identified thre | ough each of the following methods: | | 13383 | | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census information | ion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 1605 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 289 | | |



Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 21 Title: Willowbrook

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Bene | eficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 8,288 | 8,490 | | 16,778 | 3 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Benefic | ciaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | | 12,345 | 1,209 | 13,554 | |
| Black/African American | | 1,110 | 6 | 1,116 | |
| Asian | | 1,088 | 1 | 1,089 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | 24 | 14 | 38 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | 3 | 2 | 5 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | 29 | | 29 | |
| Asian & White | | 50 | 1 | 51 | |
| Black/African American & White | | 15 | 2 | 17 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | | | | | |
| Other Multi-Racial | | 523 | 356 | 879 | |
| | • | | Activity Total: | 16,778 | |
| c2. When was 2. Is survey documentation attached to the app Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify | No No No entraction attach a copy of the previously verified fy beneficiaries? | survey data and | describe the location of the surv | rey. | |
| a. Has the required Census map been provide | | ı | | | |
| Yes | No | | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included | | are not citywide o | | | |
| beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsh projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" ar | id attach a spreadsheet. | mileu in auuilion | to completing this question. For | onywide or countywide | |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | | 0 | |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries | identified through each of the following | a methods: | | | |
| DR Survey: | | g methods: 000 Census: | 16778 | | |
| DIX Ourvey. | | JUU UEIISUS. | 10770 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit | |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as | opposed to using 2000 Census information, | , provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the reason. | |
| NA | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the | project? 2951 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from th | ne project? 687 | | |



DR Survey:

Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

Total Male Reneficiaries

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

Total Reneficiaries

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 22 **Title: Imperial Valley** Total Female Beneficiaries

| | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 16,081 | 15,124 | 31,205 | | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | |
| White | 4,349 | 8,708 | | |
| Black/African American | 5,464 | 464 78 | | |
| Asian | 526 | 24 | 55 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 47 | 127 | 17 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 9 | 24 | 3 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 31 | 7 | 3 | |
| Asian & White | 27 | 3 | 3 | |
| Black/African American & White | 29 | 9 | 3 | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | 11 | 1 | 1 | |
| Other Multi-Racial | 8,981 | 2,750 | 11,73 | |
| | | Activity Total: | 31,20 | |
| b. How many of the ho | ds/families will be assisted? useholds/families responded to the survey? ev started? | | | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survex c2. When was the survex c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survey. c2. When was the survey. 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? ey completed? No No copy of the previously verified survey data and | d describe the location of the surve | y. | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survey. c2. When was the survey. 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? ey completed? No No copy of the previously verified survey data and | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survey c2. When was the survey less a survey documentation attached to the application? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit yes | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? ey completed? No No Scopy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? | d describe the location of the surve | y. | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survey c2. When was the survey c2. When was the survey c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? ey completed? No No Roppy of the previously verified survey data and startes? No No No No No No No No No N | describe the location of the surve | y. | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survey c2. When was the survey c2. When was the survey c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? ey completed? No No No Copy of the previously verified survey data and ciaries? No No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: oject area for projects which are not citywide ving LMI benefit must be submitted in addition | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | dentify the total | |
| b. How many of the hoc1. When was the survey c2. When was the survey c2. When was the survey c2. Is survey documentation attached to the application? Yes If yes, please attach a 4. Was 2000 Census information used to identify benefit Yes a. Has the required Census map been provided? Yes List all census tracts and block groups included in the peneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet sho | useholds/families responded to the survey? ey started? ey completed? No No No Copy of the previously verified survey data and citaries? No No CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA: oject area for projects which are not citywide ving LMI benefit must be submitted in addition a spreadsheet. | or countywide benefit. Be sure to i | dentify the total | |

2000 Census:

Limited Clientele:

31205



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census information | on, provide a detailed explanation of the r | eason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 3458 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 589 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 23 Title: Greenridge

| FTOJECI#. 23 | | | | | Title. Greeninge |
|--|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Benefic | iaries | | Total Bene | ficiaries |
| 1,345 | 1,529 | | | 2,87 | ' 4 |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficia | ries | # Hispanic Be | eneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | | 65 | | 29 | 7 362 |
| Black/African American | | 1,828 | | 5 | 1,886 |
| Asian | | 23 | | | 23 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | 2 | | | 9 11 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | 1 | | | 1 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | | | | 3 3 |
| Asian & White | | | | | |
| Black/African American & White | | 4 | | | 6 10 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | | 7 | | | 7 |
| Other Multi-Racial | | 313 | | 25 | 571 |
| | • | | | Activity Tota | ol: 2,874 |
| b. How many of the hoch. When was the surce. 2. Is survey documentation attached to the application. Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes If yes, please attach and the survey was a survey with the survey was a survey. Yes | vey completed? No No Ropy of the previously verified sur | | d describe the loca | ation of the su | rvey. |
| a. Has the required Census map been provided? | | | | | |
| Yes | No | | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AI | REA DATA: | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet she projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attack | owing LMI benefit must be submitte | not citywide o | or countywide bern to completing thi | nefit. Be sure t is question. Fo | to identify the total or citywide or countywide |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identifi | ied through each of the following m | ethods: | | • | |
| DR Survey: | | Census: | ۱ | 2874 | |
| Direct Benefit: | | ed Clientele: | | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informati | on, provide a detailed explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 321 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 1 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 24

Total Male Beneficiaries

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

Title: Colgate, Portwall, Canada Dry, Crenshaw, and Halls

Total Beneficiaries

| 5,574 | | 5,372 10,946 | | 5,372 10,946 | | 5,372 | | i |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|-------|--|---|
| Race | # Non-Hispanic | Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries | | | | |
| White | | 3,171 | 1,659 | 4,830 | | | | |
| Black/African American | | 29 | 1,165 | 1,194 | | | | |
| Asian | | 10 | 1,288 | 1,298 | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | 52 | 19 | 71 | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | | 2 | 2 | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | 12 | 14 | 26 | | | | |
| Asian & White | | | 7 | 7 | | | | |
| Black/African American & White | | 2 | 10 | 12 | | | | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/Africar | n Am. | | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| Other Multi-Racial | | 3,060 | 441 | 3,501 | | | | |
| | • | • | Activity Total: | 10,946 | | | | |
| b. How m c1. When c2. When c2. Is survey documentation attached to the Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes Yes | any households/families will be assi- any of the households/families responses was the survey started? was the survey completed? examplication? example attach a copy of the previously dentify beneficiaries? | No N | d describe the location of the surve | ey. | | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGR | RAPHIC AREA DATA: | | | | | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups include beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreprojects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" | eadsheet showing LMI benefit must | which are not citywide be submitted in addition | or countywide benefit. Be sure to n to completing this question. For o | identify the total citywide or countywide | | | | |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting | | | | |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | | 0 | | | | |
| 1a. Provide the number of project benefic | iaries identified through each of the | following methods: | • | | | | | |
| DR Survey: | | 2000 Census: | 10946 | | | | | |
| Direct Benefit: | | Limited Clientele: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Total Female Beneficiaries



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informat | tion, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 70 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 1 | | |



Direct Benefit:

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

Project#: 25 Title: Polk, and Lockwood

| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Beneficiaries | Total Benefic | ciaries |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 6,562 | 6,611 | 13,173 | |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Beneficiaries | # Hispanic Beneficiaries | Total Beneficiaries |
| White | 2,147 | | 2,646 |
| Black/African American | 51 | | 7,494 |
| Asian | 5 | | 100 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 31 | 17 | 48 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 3 | 5 | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | 1 | 5 | (|
| Asian & White | | | |
| Black/African American & White | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | | 11 | 1. |
| Other Multi-Racial | 2,806 | 38 | 2,844 |
| | <u> </u> | Activity Total: | 13,173 |
| c2. When was th 2. Is survey documentation attached to the applic Yes 3. Was a prior survey utilized? Yes | No No No act ach a copy of the previously verified survey data are | nd describe the location of the survi | ey. |
| Yes | No | | |
| a. Has the required Census map been provide | d? | | |
| Yes | No | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in beneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadshe projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and | CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DATA In the project area for projects which are not citywide tet showing LMI benefit must be submitted in addition attach a spreadsheet. | e or countywide benefit. Be sure to | identify the total citywide or countywide |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | Block Group(s) | Persons Benefiting |
| See Spreadsheet - Census Tab | | | 0 |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries in | dentified through each of the following methods: | | |
| · ′ | 2000 Census: | 13173 | |
| DR Survey: | 2000 Cerisus. | 13173 | |

Limited Clientele:



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | using 2000 Census informati | on, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the | reason. |
| N/A | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | 1185 | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | 66 | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

PROJECT BENEFICIARY INFORMATION

| Project#: 26 | | | Title: D | isaster Pecov | ery Enhancement Program |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Total Male Beneficiaries | Total Female Be | noficiarios | Title. D | Total Bene | |
| 0 | 0 | Heliciaries | | 0 | licianes |
| Race | # Non-Hispanic Bene | ficiarios | # Hispanic B | | Total Beneficiaries |
| | # Non-mapanic Bene | - Inciai ica | # Thispanic B | Chenelanes | Total Belieficiaries |
| White | | | | | |
| Black/African American | | | | | |
| Asian | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | | | | |
| Asian & White | | | | | |
| Black/African American & White | | | | | |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Am. | | | | | |
| Other Multi-Racial | | | | | |
| | | | | Activity Total | l: |
| b. How many of the hound of the hound of the hound of the was the surver of the case of the surver o | No No opy of the previously verifie | | nd describe the loc | ation of the sur | vey. |
| Yes | No | | | | |
| | CENSUS GEOGRAPH | IC AREA DATA | : | | |
| List all census tracts and block groups included in the probeneficiaries claimed for each area. A spreadsheet show projects, indicate "Citywide" or "Countywide" and attach | ring LMI benefit must be sul | n are not citywide omitted in additio | e or countywide be on to completing th | nefit. Be sure to is question. Fo | o identify the total r citywide or countywide |
| County Code | Census Tract(s) | | Block Group(s) | | Persons Benefiting |
| | | | | | |
| Provide the number of project beneficiaries identified | through each of the follow | ing methods: | | | |
| DR Survey: | | 2000 Census: | | | |
| · | | | | | |
| Direct Benefit: | | Limited Clientele |) : | | |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| 1b. Describe the Target Area: | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Citywide | Countywide | Area | Limited Clientele/ Direct Benefit |
| 2. If beneficiaries were identified by using a survey as opposed to | o using 2000 Census informa | ation, provide a <i>detailed</i> explanation of the re | eason. |
| | | | |
| 3. Number of residential properties benefiting from the project? | | | |
| 4. Number of commercial properties benefiting from the project? | | | |



area for the facilities at this site.

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

Project # 25 Title: Polk, and Lockwood 1. What are the water sources for the system (e.g., wells, surface water, wholesale water supplier)? Surface water 2. Please identify all regulatory or contractual authorities over the subject facility (e.g., MUD, City, State, etc.): City of Houston, State of Texas 3. What is the name and contact information of each regulated entity? City of Houston Kira Smith, Supervising Engineer 832-395-2849 611 Walker Street, 21st Floor Yes No 4. Was a boil water notice or other warning issued? (If yes, provide copy) 5. Are improvements related to water treatment? 6. Are proposed improvements related to water storage/supply? Public Nonprofit Utility: Privately-Owned For-Profit Utility: Privately-Owned Nonprofit Utility: N/A: 7. What entity, or entities, holds the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN) to provide water service in the service areas included in the application? Entity: City of Houston Service Rate: \$6.52 for 1,000 - 3,000, \$15.81 for 4,000, \$18.77 Type of Utility: Public Nonprofit 8. Does the applicant or service provider currently hold the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN) for the target area proposed in the application? Yes Applicant must define the project area by submitting a map with this application that clearly identifies the location of proposed construction activities and service



Project #:1

area for the facilities at this site.

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

Title: Beltway

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

SEWER/WASTEWATER IMPROVEMENTS

| 1. What is the wastewa | ater discharge permit number? 1049 | 5-037 | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|--|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| 2. Will any of the propo | osed improvements require the appro | val of a new pe | ermit or an amendment to an existir | ng permit? | | |
| 3. Will any increase in | users be within the capacity of its exis | sting permit? | | | | |
| 4. Is a treatment facility | y involved? | | | | | |
| 5. Are other facilities in | nvolved? | | | | | |
| 6. Is line work involved | d? | | | | | |
| Line Location: | | | | | | _ |
| Service Rate: | | | | | | |
| Material Type of I | Line: | | | | | |
| 7. What entity, or entiti included in this applica | ies, holds the Certificate of Conveniention? | ice and Neces | sity (CCN) to provide sewer service | e in the service areas | | |
| Entity: | City of Houston | | | | | |
| Service Rate: | \$6.52 for 1,000 - 3,000, \$15.81 f | or 4,000, \$18. | 77 | | | |
| Type of Utility: | Public Nonprofit Utility: | | Privately-Owned For-Profit: | | | |
| | Privately-Owned Nonprofit: | | N/A: | | | |
| 8. Does the applicant of proposed in the application | or service provider currently hold the Cation? | Certificate of C | onvenience and Necessity (CCN) f | or the target area | | |
| 9. List notices or citation | ons imposed on this system by TCEQ | or other regula | atory entities: | | | |
| TCEQ Agreed Order | | | | | | |
| Applicant must define | the project area by submitting a map | with this applic | ation that clearly identifies the loca | tion of proposed constru | uction act | vities and service |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Project #:2 | | | | | | Title: Britmore |
|--|---|-------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| What is the wastewa | ater discharge permit number? | | | | | |
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| 2. Will any of the propo | osed improvements require the appro | oval of a new pe | ermit or an amendment to an existinç | g permit? | | |
| 3. Will any increase in | users be within the capacity of its ex | isting permit? | | | | |
| 4. Is a treatment facility | y involved? | | | | | |
| 5. Are other facilities in | volved? | | | | | |
| 6. Is line work involved | ? | | | | | |
| Line Location: | | | | | | |
| Service Rate: | | | | | | |
| Material Type of L | ine: | | | | | |
| 7. What entity, or entiti included in this applica | es, holds the Certificate of Convenie tion? | nce and Neces | sity (CCN) to provide sewer service | in the service areas | | |
| Entity: | | | | | | |
| Service Rate: | | | | | | |
| Type of Utility: | Public Nonprofit Utility: | | Privately-Owned For-Profit: | | | |
| | Privately-Owned Nonprofit: | | N/A: | | | |
| 8. Does the applicant of proposed in the application | or service provider currently hold the ation? | Certificate of C | onvenience and Necessity (CCN) fo | r the target area | | |
| 9. List notices or citation | ons imposed on this system by TCEC | Q or other regula | atory entities: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant must define tarea for the facilities at | the project area by submitting a map this site. | with this applic | ation that clearly identifies the location | on of proposed constr | ruction act | ivities and service |



area for the facilities at this site.

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS

Project # 24 Title: Colgate, Portwall, Canada Dry, Crenshaw, and Halls

| 1. What are the current drainage patterns in the targeted service area? (Provide map of drainage area for the facilities at this site and location of improvements) Localized topography is relatively flat with a slope toward the nearest bayou. | | |
|---|------------|---------------------|
| 2. Is the drainage in the targeted area controlled by natural terrain features (e.g., natural gullies, unimproved creek beds, natural slopes, etc.), or constructed and designed drainage facilities (e.g., storm sewer, designed drainage channels, water crossings, bar ditches, culverts, etc.), or a combination of both? Please describe the natural terrain features and the constructed and designed drainage facilities in the area. Combination of both; area served by open ditches and/or storm sewers that drain to bayous | | |
| 3. What are the planned drainage improvements and will these improvements connect into other existing drainage structures in the area? increase capacity of ditches and/or storm sewers maintaining existing outfalls. Overland sheet flow will be improved. | | |
| 4. How will they connect? at existing outfall location. | | |
| | Yes | No |
| 5. Will the planned drainage improvements adversely impact downstream structures or areas? | | |
| Explain: | | |
| 6. Are other precautions needed for health/safety concerns such as fencing, underground drainage, etc? | | |
| Identify the precautions: | | |
| 7. Will acquisition be necessary? | | |
| Explain: minor acquisitions associated with missing right of way | | |
| 8. Will these improvements remove homes from floodplains or protect from future storm damage? | | |
| If yes, how many? 70 | | |
| 9. Will improvements alter the current federal and/or local floodplain(s)? | | |
| 10. Are the improvements in conjunction with road improvements? | | |
| Applicant must define the project area by submitting a map with this application that clearly identifies the location of proposed constru | uction act | ivities and service |

This application should be considered draft until the time the General Land Office issues a notification of award.



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

POOL: NON-HOUSING

Round 2.2 Non-housing Pool applications will be scored by the Disaster Recovery Program using the criteria outlined below. Examples have been provided to illustrate to applicants how points will be awarded.

1. Low-to-Moderate Income Percentage: What is the project low-to-moderate income (LMI) percentage?

The total points awarded for this category will be based on the appropriate LMI point category as presented below. Applications that include multiple projects will be assigned points by the weighted LMI percentage, based on the proportion of project cost relative to the total of all project costs present in the application. Total project cost is determined by adding engineering costs to the construction costs. Applications for a single project will receive the full number of points for the LMI category within which it falls.

- 1. LMI % greater than or equal to 90% Maximum 35 points
- 2. LMI % greater than or equal to 80% but less than 90% Maximum 28 points
- 3. LMI % greater than or equal to 70% but less than 80% Maximum 21 points
- 4. LMI % greater than or equal to 60% but less than 70% Maximum 14 points
- 5. LMI % greater than or equal to 51% but less than 60% Maximum 7 points
- 6. LMI % less than 51% 0 points

| # | Activity | Title | Con \$ | Eng \$ | Tot \$ | Cost Weight | LMI % | Weighted LMI % |
|---|----------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 1 | 1b Sewer Facilities | Project Title | \$101,840 | \$16,080 | \$117,920 | 0.13 | 46.57% | 6.08% |
| 2 | 1a Water Facilities | Project Title | \$126,920 | \$20,040 | \$146,960 | 0.16 | 59.68% | 9.72% |
| 3 | 5 Flood and Drainage | Project Title | \$451,000 | \$49,500 | \$500,500 | 0.55 | 74.31% | 41.21% |
| 4 | 9 Park, Playground | Project Title | \$125,200 | \$11,900 | \$137,100 | 0.15 | 45.91% | 6.97% |
| | | Totals | \$804,960 | \$97,520 | \$902,480 | 1.00 | | 63.99% |

2. Project Priority: Total points 25 Does this project address a priority activity?

Table 1 information will be used to determine the apprioriate project priority points. Applications that include multiple projects will be assigned project priority points based on the proportion of project cost relative to the total of all project costs present in the application. Total project cost is determined by adding engineering costs to the construction costs. Applications for a single project will receive the full number of points for the project priority category within which it falls.

(a) Priority Activities: Maximum 25 points (b)Non-priority Activities: 0 points

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

Priority Activities are:

- 1. Sewer facilities
- 2. Water facilities
- 3. Drainage and flood facilities, including shoreline stabilization
- 4. Streets / bridges

| # | Activity | Title | Con \$ | Eng \$ | Tot \$ | Cost Weight | Priority Points | Weighted Points |
|---|----------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 1b Sewer Facilities | Project Title | \$101,840 | \$16,080 | \$117,920 | 0.13 | 25.00 | 3.27 |
| 2 | 1a Water Facilities | Project Title | \$126,920 | \$20,040 | \$146,960 | 0.16 | 25.00 | 4.07 |
| 3 | 5 Flood and Drainage | Project Title | \$451,000 | \$49,500 | \$500,500 | 0.55 | 25.00 | 13.86 |
| 4 | 9 Park, Playground | Project Title | \$125,200 | \$11,900 | \$137,100 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | Totals | \$804,960 | \$97,520 | \$902,480 | 1.00 | | 21.20 |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

POOL: NON-HOUSING (p2)

3. Hurricane Damage per Capita: Total points 20 What is the applicant's rate of FEMA Public Assistance (PA) and Individual Assistance (IA) per capita?

Applicant must attach documentation of all FEMA Public Assistance for the applicant. The latest available amount of all FEMA Public Assistance (PA) and Indivual Assistance (IA) for the applicant, as provided by the application preparer, will be divided by the total population for the applicant to determine the amount of damages per capita. This average amount of damage per capita will be multiplied by a factor of 0.80, which determines the Base. The applicant's Base is then divided by 20 to determine the raw score. Up to a score of 20, the raw score is equal to the actual score. The maximum score is capped at 20 points. A raw score of 20 or above will equate to an actual score of 20.

4. Employment Impact: Total points 12

Employment figures for all industries both public and private for the 2nd Quarter of 2008 and the 4th Quarter of 2008 are obtained from the Texas Wokforce Commission's (TWC) Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) for each country in the Pool region. Cities are scored on the rates for the country in which they are located. The percent of change in each country (increase / decrease) from the 2nd Quarter 2008 to the 4th Quarter 2008 is then calculated. Refer to "Change in Employment Data Worksheet" attachment. Points are then awarded based upon the following scale:

| No decrease | 0 points |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Decrease up to 1.99% | 2 points |
| Decrease 2.00% to 2.99% | 4 points |
| Decrease 3.00% to 3.99% | 6 points |
| Decrease 4.00% to 5.99% | 8 points |
| Decrease 6.00% and over | 12 points |

5. Regional Impacts:

Does the project meet two or more of the regional emphasis criteria?

Applicants claiming regional points must attach justification and documentation explain which projects meet the qualifying criteria listed below and why. Review application information to determine if the project(s) meet any two of the four regionalization criteria. Applications that include multiple projects will be assigned regionalization points based on the proportion of project cost relative to the total of all project costs present in the application. Total project cost is determined by adding engineering costs to the construction costs.

Projects may qualify as regional in nature if they meet two or more of the following criteria:

- 1. Multi-Jurisdictional benefit as evidenced by a master planning document completed and accepted by all appropriate entities prior to the initial date submitted of this application. The document must be attached as an attachment to this application.
 - 2. Serves regional connectivity or connectivity between systems (Example: Interconnect between water systems).
- 3. At least 15% of each jurisdiction in the multi-party agreement population receives project benefit as verified by DR in the beneficiary information documentation.
 - 4. Consolidation of two impacted services facilities.

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

The applicant with the largest % of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.

Tie-Breaker: The tie-breaker will be determined based on weighted LMI percentage of application projects. Note that the tie-breaker will only be utilized in the event of an actual tie.





APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

APPLICANT DISCLOSURES / UPDATE REPORT

| Part 1: Applicant/Recipient | Information | Initial Rep | ort | Update Report | | N/A | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Part 2: Other Government | Assistance Provided/R | equested? | Yes No | | | | | |
| Department / State / Local Agency Name | City | State | Zip Code | Program | Т | | Amount Requested / Provided | |
| Part 3: Interested Parties - Are there any persons with a reportable financial interest to disclose? Yes No | | | | | | | | |
| Person With Reportable Interest Type of Pa | | Participation i | n Project | Financial Interest (Dollars) | | Financial Intere | st (%) | |
| Part 4: Report on expected sources and uses of funds: | | | | | | | | |
| Source of Funds | | Use of Funds | | | | | | |



APPLICATION 2.2

DR Program Non-Housing Activities Application

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment Checklist

Provide the following attachments, if applicable:

- 1. Signed 424 Form
- 2. Project and Census / Target Area Maps
- 3. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or Interlocal Agreement
- 4. Project Impact Documentation (for Urgent Need and Removal of Slums & Blight National Objectives)
- 5. Beneficiary Documentation (Survey Forms or Census)
- 6. Urgent Need Forms, or attach a previously completed Urgent Need form
- 7. Project Funding Certification Form
- 8. Fair Housing Certification Documents
- 9 . Storm Related Documentation

Generated on: 12/9/2011 4:55:58 PM

10. Other Documents

Attached Documents

| File Name | Owner | Uploaded | Size | Document Type |
|---|-------|-----------|---------|---|
| Master Data Submission Round 2(b).xlsx | xye | 12/9/2011 | 880 KB | Beneficiary Docs - Caclulations and Survey Docs |
| Houston_FHASTAmended RestatedGLOApproved.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 207 KB | FHAST Form |
| DRCDBG R2 City of Houston Supplemental Info.zip | xye | 12/9/2011 | 6839 KB | Miscellaneous Documents and Certifications |
| Imperial Valley Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 136 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Westway Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 134 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Willowbrook Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 135 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Northeast Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 148 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Northborough Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 131 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Northbelt Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 139 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| MUD #203 Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 136 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Keegan Bayou Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 320 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |



DRAFT

APPLICATION 2.2

| Britmore Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 140 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
|--|-----|-----------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Beltway Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 151 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| FWSD #23 Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 156 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Homestead Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 153 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Intercontinental Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 356 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Chocolate Bayou Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 143 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Clinton Park Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 134 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| 9_25_05 Ike BWN documentation letter to TCEQ.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 3948 KB | Storm Related Documentation |
| Form 424_CityofHouston.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 528 KB | Signed 424 Form |
| Ike Recovery Grant 2(b) Project Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 114 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Sims Bayou Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 464 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| 2(b) Census Tract and Block Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 450 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Willow Run Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 136 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| WCID #76 Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 137 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| WCID #47 Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 141 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Tidwell Timbers Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 133 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Southwest Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 419 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Northwest Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 374 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Northside Phase IA Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 498 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |
| Northgate Map.pdf | xye | 12/9/2011 | 134 KB | Project and Census/Target Area Maps |